

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended **September 30, 2016**

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: **000-21467**



Pacific Ethanol, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

41-2170618

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

400 Capitol Mall, Suite 2060, Sacramento, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

95814

(zip code)

(916) 403-2123

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of November 8, 2016, there were 39,634,084 shares of Pacific Ethanol, Inc. common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, and 3,540,132 shares of Pacific Ethanol, Inc. non-voting common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, outstanding.

PART I
FINANCIAL INFORMATION

	<u>Page</u>
ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2016 (unaudited) and December 31, 2015	1
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 (unaudited)	3
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 (unaudited)	4
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	5
ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.	18
ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.	29
ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.	31

PART II
OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.	32
ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.	33
ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.	42
ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.	43
ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.	43
ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.	43
ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.	46
SIGNATURES	47
EXHIBITS FILED WITH THIS REPORT	

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

PACIFIC ETHANOL, INC.
 CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 (in thousands)

<u>ASSETS</u>	September 30, 2016 (unaudited)	December 31, 2015 *
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 40,639	\$ 52,712
Accounts receivable, net (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$350 and \$25, respectively)	68,824	61,346
Inventories	64,988	60,820
Prepaid inventory	7,630	5,973
Income tax receivables	6,114	10,654
Derivative instruments	3,242	2,081
Other current assets	5,781	4,356
Total current assets	<u>197,218</u>	<u>197,942</u>
Property and equipment, net	<u>452,478</u>	<u>464,960</u>
Other Assets:		
Intangible assets, net	2,678	2,678
Other assets	4,752	9,100
Total other assets	<u>7,430</u>	<u>11,778</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$ 657,126</u>	<u>\$ 674,680</u>

* Amounts derived from the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

PACIFIC ETHANOL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (CONTINUED)
(in thousands, except par value and shares)

<u>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</u>	September 30, 2016 (unaudited)	December 31, 2015 *
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable – trade	\$ 26,790	\$ 30,520
Accrued liabilities	15,107	10,072
Current portion – capital leases	4,525	4,248
Current portion – long-term debt	153,701	17,003
Derivative instruments	3,492	1,848
Accrued PE Op Co. purchase	3,828	3,828
Other current liabilities	5,656	5,390
Total current liabilities	213,099	72,909
Long-term debt, net of current portion	63,552	203,861
Capital leases, net of current portion	754	4,183
Warrant liabilities at fair value	326	273
Deferred tax liabilities	1,174	1,174
Other liabilities	17,739	20,736
Total Liabilities	<u>296,644</u>	<u>303,136</u>
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 7)		
Stockholders' Equity:		
Pacific Ethanol, Inc. Stockholders' Equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized;		
Series A: 1,684,375 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015;		
Series B: 1,580,790 shares authorized; 926,942 shares issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015; liquidation preference of \$18,075 as of September 30, 2016		
	1	1
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 300,000,000 shares authorized; 39,634,084 and 38,974,972 shares issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively		
	40	39
Non-voting common stock, \$0.001 par value; 3,553,000 shares authorized; 3,540,132 shares issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015		
	4	4
Additional paid-in capital	904,387	902,843
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,040	1,040
Accumulated deficit	(544,990)	(532,383)
Total Stockholders' Equity	<u>360,482</u>	<u>371,544</u>
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	<u>\$ 657,126</u>	<u>\$ 674,680</u>

* Amounts derived from the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

PACIFIC ETHANOL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(unaudited, in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Net sales	\$ 417,806	\$ 380,622	\$ 1,183,039	\$ 814,419
Cost of goods sold	411,442	388,002	1,157,902	816,532
Gross profit (loss)	6,364	(7,380)	25,137	(2,113)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	5,971	7,446	20,436	16,344
Income (loss) from operations	393	(14,826)	4,701	(18,457)
Fair value adjustments	(69)	1,202	(53)	1,413
Interest expense, net	(3,874)	(5,167)	(16,643)	(7,187)
Other income, net	32	203	92	16
Loss before provision (benefit) for income taxes	(3,518)	(18,588)	(11,903)	(24,215)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	-	(3,925)	(245)	(6,095)
Consolidated net loss	(3,518)	(14,663)	(11,658)	(18,120)
Net loss attributed to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	87
Net loss attributed to Pacific Ethanol, Inc.	\$ (3,518)	\$ (14,663)	\$ (11,658)	\$ (18,033)
Preferred stock dividends	\$ (319)	\$ (319)	\$ (949)	\$ (946)
Net loss available to common stockholders	\$ (3,837)	\$ (14,982)	\$ (12,607)	\$ (18,979)
Net loss per share, basic and diluted	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.36)	\$ (0.30)	\$ (0.63)
Weighted-average shares outstanding, basic and diluted	42,226	41,861	42,156	30,170

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

PACIFIC ETHANOL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(unaudited, in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2016	2015
Operating Activities:		
Consolidated net loss	\$ (11,658)	\$ (18,120)
Adjustments to reconcile consolidated net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization of intangibles	26,526	15,103
Interest expense added to term debt	9,451	–
Deferred income taxes	–	(120)
Fair value adjustments	53	(1,413)
Amortization of debt discount	930	383
Amortization of deferred financing fees	97	178
Non-cash compensation	1,888	1,465
Loss (gain) on derivative instruments	(1,669)	1,552
Bad debt expense (recoveries)	325	(357)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(7,803)	(5,980)
Inventories	(4,168)	(205)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	5,503	(1,399)
Prepaid inventory	(1,657)	495
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(2,789)	(9,020)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	<u>15,029</u>	<u>(17,438)</u>
Investing Activities:		
Additions to property and equipment	(14,045)	(17,680)
Net cash from Aventine acquisition	–	18,756
Proceeds from (purchases of) cash collateralized letters of credit	4,113	(4,574)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(9,932)</u>	<u>(3,498)</u>
Financing Activities:		
Net proceeds from Kinergy's line of credit	2,913	29,718
Proceeds from assessment financing	1,020	–
Principal payments on borrowings	(17,003)	(13,833)
Payments on capital leases	(3,151)	(3,399)
Proceeds from exercise of warrants	–	368
Preferred stock dividends paid	(949)	(946)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>(17,170)</u>	<u>11,908</u>
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(12,073)	(9,028)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	52,712	62,084
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 40,639</u>	<u>\$ 53,056</u>
Supplemental Cash Flow Information:		
Interest paid	<u>\$ 7,256</u>	<u>\$ 6,043</u>
Income tax refunds received	<u>\$ 4,784</u>	<u>\$ –</u>
Noncash financing and investing activities:		
Reclass of warrant liability to equity upon warrant exercises	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ 72</u>
Reclass of noncontrolling interests to APIC upon acquisitions of ownership positions in PE Op Co.	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ 560</u>
Accrued payment for ownership positions in PE Op Co.	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ 3,828</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

PACIFIC ETHANOL, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION.

Organization and Business – The consolidated financial statements include, for all periods presented, the accounts of Pacific Ethanol, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“Pacific Ethanol”), and its direct and indirect subsidiaries (collectively, the “Company”), including its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Kinergy Marketing LLC, an Oregon limited liability company (“Kinergy”), Pacific Ag. Products, LLC, a California limited liability company (“PAP”) and PE Op Co., a Delaware corporation (“PE Op Co.”).

The Company’s acquisition of Aventine Renewable Energy Holdings, Inc. (now, Pacific Ethanol Central, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, “Aventine”) was consummated on July 1, 2015, and as a result, the Company’s accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include the results of Aventine for the six months ended June 30, 2015.

The Company is a leading producer and marketer of low-carbon renewable fuels in the United States. The Company’s four ethanol plants in the Western United States (together with their respective holding companies, the “Pacific Ethanol West Plants”) are located in close proximity to both feed and ethanol customers and thus enjoy unique advantages in efficiency, logistics and product pricing. These plants produce among the lowest-carbon ethanol produced in the United States due to low energy use in production.

With the addition of four Midwestern ethanol plants in July 2015 as a result of the Company’s acquisition of Aventine, the Company now has a combined ethanol production capacity of 515 million gallons per year, markets over 800 million gallons of ethanol, on an annualized basis, and produces over one million tons of co-products such as wet and dry distillers grains, wet and dry corn gluten feed, condensed distillers solubles, corn gluten meal, corn germ, distillers yeast and CO₂, on an annualized basis. The Company’s four ethanol plants in the Midwest (together with their respective holding companies, the “Pacific Ethanol Central Plants”) are located in the heart of the Corn Belt, benefit from low-cost and abundant feedstock production and allow for access to many additional domestic markets. In addition, the Company’s ability to load unit trains from these facilities in the Midwest allows for greater access to international markets.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts – Trade accounts receivable are presented at face value, net of the allowance for doubtful accounts. The Company sells ethanol to gasoline refining and distribution companies, sells distillers grains and other feed co-products to dairy operators and animal feedlots and sells corn oil to poultry and biodiesel customers generally without requiring collateral.

The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts for balances that appear to have specific collection issues. The collection process is based on the age of the invoice and requires attempted contacts with the customer at specified intervals. If, after a specified number of days, the Company has been unsuccessful in its collection efforts, a bad debt allowance is recorded for the balance in question. Delinquent accounts receivable are charged against the allowance for doubtful accounts once uncollectibility has been determined. The factors considered in reaching this determination are the apparent financial condition of the customer and the Company’s success in contacting and negotiating with the customer. If the financial condition of the Company’s customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required.

Of the accounts receivable balance, approximately \$54,966,000 and \$42,049,000 at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, were used as collateral under Kinergy’s operating line of credit. The allowance for doubtful accounts was \$350,000 and \$25,000 as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. The Company recorded a bad debt expense of \$39,000 and \$325,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively, and bad debt recoveries of \$360,000 and \$357,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively. The Company does not have any off-balance sheet credit exposure related to its customers.

Provision for Income Taxes – The Company recognized a tax benefit of \$0 and \$0.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, as the Company has finalized certain of its tax returns. The Company recognized a benefit of \$3.9 million and \$6.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, related to losses incurred in 2015 that were carried back to a prior taxable year. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, the Company applied a valuation allowance against the amount of deferred tax losses from the periods. To the extent the Company believes it can utilize these losses, it will adjust its provision (benefit) for income taxes accordingly in future periods.

Financial Instruments – The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities are reasonable estimates of their fair values because of the short maturity of these items. The Company recorded its warrants at fair value. The Company believes the carrying value of its long-term debt approximates fair value because the interest rates on these instruments are variable.

Reclassifications – Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation. Such reclassification had no effect on the consolidated net loss reported in the consolidated statements of operations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements – In February 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued new guidance on accounting for leases. Under the new guidance, lessees will be required to recognize the following for all leases (with the exception of short-term leases) at the commencement date: (1) a lease liability, which is a lessee’s obligation to make lease payments arising from a lease, measured on a discounted cash flow basis; and (2) a “right of use” asset, which is an asset that represents the lessee’s right to use the specified asset for the lease term. Under the new guidance, lessor accounting is largely unchanged, with some minor exceptions. Lessees will no longer be provided with a source of off-balance sheet financing for other than short-term leases. The standard is effective for public companies for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019, and for interim periods beginning after December 15, 2020. Early adoption is permitted. The Company has several operating leases that may be impacted by this guidance. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this accounting standard on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In May 2014, the FASB issued new guidance on the recognition of revenue. The guidance states that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The standard was originally effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period, but has been further deferred one year. The Company’s adoption begins with the first fiscal quarter of fiscal year 2018. In March and April 2016, the FASB issued further revenue recognition guidance amending principal vs. agent considerations whether an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods and services. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this accounting standard update on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In September 2015, the FASB issued new guidance on simplifying the accounting for measurement-period adjustments. Under the new guidance, an acquirer must recognize adjustments to provisional amounts that are identified during the measurement period in the reporting period in which the adjustment amounts are determined. The guidance also requires acquirers to present separately on the face of the statement of operations or disclose in the notes, the portion of the amount recorded in current-period earnings by line item that would have been recorded in previous reporting periods if the adjustment to the provisional amounts had been recognized as of the acquisition date. The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2015, applied prospectively. Early adoption is permitted. The Company will adopt the guidance as to future acquisitions.

In April 2016, the FASB issued new guidance to reduce the complexity of certain aspects of accounting for employee share-based payment transactions. Currently, accruals of compensation costs are based on an estimated forfeiture rate. The new guidance allows an entity to make an entity-wide accounting policy election to either continue using an estimate of forfeitures or account for forfeitures only when they occur. The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the guidance on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In April 2015, the FASB issued new guidance on presentation of debt issuance costs. Historically, entities have presented debt issuance costs as an asset. Under the new guidance, effective for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2015, debt issuance costs have been reclassified as a deduction to the carrying amount of the related debt balance. The guidance does not change any of the Company's other debt recognition or disclosure. On January 1, 2016, the Company adopted this guidance for all periods presented on the consolidated balance sheets. The impact of the adoption was a reclassification of other assets to long-term debt, net of current portion of \$364,000 and \$462,000 as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Basis of Presentation—Interim Financial Statements – The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements and related notes have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Results for interim periods should not be considered indicative of results for a full year. These interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. The accounting policies used in preparing these consolidated financial statements are the same as those described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, except as noted herein. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair statement of the results for interim periods have been included. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates – The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates are required as part of determining the fair value of warrants, allowance for doubtful accounts, net realizable value of inventory, estimated lives of property and equipment and intangibles, long-lived asset impairments, valuation allowances on deferred income taxes and the potential outcome of future tax consequences of events recognized in the Company's financial statements or tax returns and the valuation of assets acquired and liabilities assumed as a result of business combinations. Actual results and outcomes may materially differ from management's estimates and assumptions.

2. SEGMENTS.

The Company reports its financial and operating performance in two segments: (1) ethanol production, which includes the production and sale of ethanol and co-products, with all eight of the Company's production facilities aggregated, and (2) marketing and distribution, which includes marketing and merchant trading for Company-produced ethanol and co-products and third-party ethanol.

The following tables set forth certain financial data for the Company's operating segments (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Net Sales				
Ethanol Production:				
Net sales to external customers	\$ 266,299	\$ 248,158	\$ 767,171	\$ 456,114
Intersegment net sales	306	251	829	336
Total production segment net sales	266,605	248,409	768,000	456,450
Marketing and distribution:				
Net sales to external customers	151,507	132,464	415,868	358,304
Intersegment net sales	2,018	1,792	5,861	3,364
Total marketing and distribution net sales	153,525	134,256	421,729	361,668
Intersegment eliminations	(2,324)	(2,043)	(6,690)	(3,699)
Net sales as reported	\$ 417,806	\$ 380,622	\$ 1,183,039	\$ 814,419
Cost of goods sold:				
Ethanol production	\$ 262,964	\$ 261,069	\$ 759,210	\$ 468,229
Marketing and distribution	153,406	130,756	412,225	357,337
Intersegment eliminations	(4,928)	(3,823)	(13,533)	(9,034)
Cost of goods sold as reported	\$ 411,442	\$ 388,002	\$ 1,157,902	\$ 816,532
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes:				
Ethanol production	\$ (2,903)	\$ (22,154)	\$ (19,171)	\$ (26,352)
Marketing and distribution	(1,524)	1,970	4,654	(161)
Corporate activities	909	1,596	2,614	2,298
	<u>\$ (3,518)</u>	<u>\$ (18,588)</u>	<u>\$ (11,903)</u>	<u>\$ (24,215)</u>
Depreciation and amortization:				
Ethanol production	\$ 8,631	\$ 8,195	\$ 25,839	\$ 14,585
Marketing and distribution	–	5	3	147
Corporate activities	226	155	684	371
	<u>\$ 8,857</u>	<u>\$ 8,355</u>	<u>\$ 26,526</u>	<u>\$ 15,103</u>
Interest expense:				
Ethanol production	\$ 3,521	\$ 4,987	\$ 15,600	\$ 6,831
Marketing and distribution	353	180	1,043	356
Corporate activities	–	–	–	–
	<u>\$ 3,874</u>	<u>\$ 5,167</u>	<u>\$ 16,643</u>	<u>\$ 7,187</u>

The following table sets forth the Company's total assets by operating segment (in thousands):

	<u>September 30, 2016</u>	<u>December 31, 2015</u>
<i>Total assets:</i>		
Ethanol production	\$ 512,285	\$ 536,013
Marketing and distribution	128,895	107,069
Corporate assets	15,946	31,598
	<u>\$ 657,126</u>	<u>\$ 674,680</u>

3. INVENTORIES.

Inventories consisted primarily of bulk ethanol, corn, co-products, Low-Carbon Fuel Standard ("LCFS") credits and unleaded fuel, and are valued at the lower-of-cost-or-net realizable value, with cost determined on a first-in, first-out basis.

Inventory balances consisted of the following (in thousands):

	<u>September 30, 2016</u>	<u>December 31, 2015</u>
Finished goods	\$ 35,410	\$ 31,153
LCFS credits	11,532	6,957
Raw materials	9,848	9,891
Work in progress	6,481	11,121
Other	1,717	1,698
Total	<u>\$ 64,988</u>	<u>\$ 60,820</u>

4. DERIVATIVES.

The business and activities of the Company expose it to a variety of market risks, including risks related to changes in commodity prices. The Company monitors and manages these financial exposures as an integral part of its risk management program. This program recognizes the unpredictability of financial markets and seeks to reduce the potentially adverse effects that market volatility could have on operating results.

Commodity Risk – Cash Flow Hedges – The Company uses derivative instruments to protect cash flows from fluctuations caused by volatility in commodity prices for periods of up to twelve months in order to protect gross profit margins from potentially adverse effects of market and price volatility on ethanol sale and purchase commitments where the prices are set at a future date and/or if the contracts specify a floating or index-based price for ethanol. In addition, the Company hedges anticipated sales of ethanol to minimize its exposure to the potentially adverse effects of price volatility. These derivatives may be designated and documented as cash flow hedges and effectiveness is evaluated by assessing the probability of the anticipated transactions and regressing commodity futures prices against the Company's purchase and sales prices. Ineffectiveness, which is defined as the degree to which the derivative does not offset the underlying exposure, is recognized immediately in cost of goods sold. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company did not designate any of its derivatives as cash flow hedges.

Commodity Risk – Non-Designated Hedges – The Company uses derivative instruments to lock in prices for certain amounts of corn and ethanol by entering into exchange-traded forward contracts for those commodities. These derivatives are not designated for special hedge accounting treatment. The changes in fair value of these contracts are recorded on the balance sheet and recognized immediately in cost of goods sold.

Non Designated Derivative Instruments – The classification and amounts of the Company’s derivatives not designated as hedging instruments are as follows (in thousands):

As of September 30, 2016					
		Assets		Liabilities	
Type of Instrument	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value		Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
Commodity contracts	Derivative instruments	\$ 3,242		Derivative instruments	\$ 3,492
		<u>\$ 3,242</u>			<u>\$ 3,492</u>

As of December 31, 2015					
		Assets		Liabilities	
Type of Instrument	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value		Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
Commodity contracts	Derivative instruments	\$ 2,081		Derivative instruments	\$ 1,848
		<u>\$ 2,081</u>			<u>\$ 1,848</u>

The classification and amounts of the Company’s recognized gains (losses) for its derivatives not designated as hedging instruments are as follows (in thousands):

		Realized Gains (Losses)	
		Three Months Ended September 30,	
Type of Instrument	Statements of Operations Location	2016	2015
Commodity contracts	Cost of goods sold	\$ 2,242	\$ (251)
		<u>\$ 2,242</u>	<u>\$ (251)</u>

		Unrealized Losses	
		Three Months Ended September 30,	
Type of Instrument	Statements of Operations Location	2016	2015
Commodity contracts	Cost of goods sold	\$ (1,383)	\$ (824)
		<u>\$ (1,383)</u>	<u>\$ (824)</u>

		Realized Gains (Losses)	
		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
Type of Instrument	Statements of Operations Location	2016	2015
Commodity contracts	Cost of goods sold	\$ 2,152	\$ (101)
		<u>\$ 2,152</u>	<u>\$ (101)</u>

		Unrealized Losses	
		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
Type of Instrument	Statements of Operations Location	2016	2015
Commodity contracts	Cost of goods sold	\$ (483)	\$ (1,451)
		<u>\$ (483)</u>	<u>\$ (1,451)</u>

5. DEBT.

Long-term borrowings are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Kinergy operating line of credit	\$ 63,916	\$ 61,003
Plant term debt	155,070	162,622
	<u>218,986</u>	<u>223,625</u>
Less unamortized discount	(1,369)	(2,299)
Less unamortized debt financing costs	(364)	(462)
Less short-term portion	(153,701)	(17,003)
Long-term debt	<u>\$ 63,552</u>	<u>\$ 203,861</u>

Kinergy Operating Line of Credit – As of September 30, 2016, Kinergy had an available borrowing base under its credit facility of \$11,084,000.

Plant Term Debt — As of September 30, 2016, the term loan facility for the Pacific Ethanol Central Plants had an outstanding balance of approximately \$155.1 million. Interest on the term loan facility accrues and may be paid in cash at a rate of 10.5% per annum or may be paid in-kind at a rate of 15.0% per annum by adding the interest to the outstanding principal balance. For the three months ended September 30, 2016, the Company elected to pay cash. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016, the Company elected to defer interest payments on the term debt in the aggregate amount of \$9.5 million, which was added to the outstanding loan balance.

The term loan facility matures on September 24, 2017, and as such the Company has reclassified the outstanding balance to current liabilities. The Company is currently pursuing alternatives to refinance the term debt.

On February 26, 2016, the Company retired the \$17,003,000 outstanding balance of the Pacific Ethanol West Plants' term debt by purchasing the lender's position for cash at par without any prepayment penalty. The purchase increased the amount of the term debt held by Pacific Ethanol to a combined \$58,766,000, which is eliminated upon consolidation. As a result, the Company has no continuing obligations to any third-party lender under the credit agreements associated with the Pacific Ethanol West Plants' term debt.

At September 30, 2016, there were approximately \$127.9 million of net assets of the Company's subsidiaries that were not available to be transferred to the parent company in the form of dividends, loans or advances due to restrictions contained in the credit facilities of these subsidiaries.

Capitalized Interest — For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, the Company capitalized interest of \$1.1 million related to its capital project activity. Of this amount, approximately \$0.6 million related to project activity in the prior year, which the Company considered to be immaterial; therefore, this amount was corrected on a cumulative basis in the current period.

6. COMMON STOCK AND WARRANTS.

Stock Incentive Plan – In June 2016, the Company's shareholders approved the adoption of the 2016 Stock Incentive Plan to replace the expiring 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. The 2016 plan is similar to the 2006 plan and has a total of 1,150,000 shares of common stock authorized for issuance over the next ten years.

Warrant Exercises – During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, certain holders exercised warrants and received an aggregate of 42,000 shares of the Company's common stock upon payment of an aggregate of \$368,000 in cash. There were no warrants exercised during the three months ended September 30, 2015 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016.

Grants of Stock – In June 2016, the Company granted an aggregate of approximately 95,000 shares of restricted stock to non-employee members of the Company's Board of Directors that vest on the earlier of (i) the date of the Company's 2017 annual meeting of stockholders, or (ii) July 1, 2017, which had a grant date fair value of \$5.44 per share. In June 2016, the Company granted an aggregate of 253,000 shares of restricted stock to the Company's executive officers and other eligible employees that vest in equal amounts on each of April 1, 2017, 2018 and 2019, which had a grant date fair value of \$5.44 per share.

7. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES.

Sales Commitments – At September 30, 2016, the Company had entered into sales contracts with its major customers to sell certain quantities of ethanol and co-products. The Company had open indexed-price ethanol sales contracts for 236,324,000 gallons as of September 30, 2016 and open fixed-price ethanol sales contracts valued at \$9,540,000 as of September 30, 2016. The Company had open fixed-price co-product sales contracts valued at \$30,797,000 as of September 30, 2016 and open indexed-price co-product sales contracts for 142,000 tons as of September 30, 2016. These sales contracts are scheduled to be completed throughout 2017.

Purchase Commitments – At September 30, 2016, the Company had indexed-price purchase contracts to purchase 20,670,000 gallons of ethanol and fixed-price purchase contracts to purchase \$10,536,000 of ethanol from its suppliers. The Company had fixed-price purchase contracts to purchase \$32,022,000 of corn from its suppliers. These purchase commitments are scheduled to be satisfied throughout 2016. In addition, in September 2016, the Company signed an agreement to finance and construct a 5 megawatt solar project at its Madera facility. The amount financed is up to \$10.0 million, to be amortized over twenty years as part of the facility's property tax assessments. As of September 30, 2016, the Company had incurred \$1.1 million in project costs, which is recorded in other liabilities in the accompanying balance sheet.

Litigation – General – The Company is subject to various claims and contingencies in the ordinary course of its business, including those related to litigation, business transactions, employee-related matters, and others. When the Company is aware of a claim or potential claim, it assesses the likelihood of any loss or exposure. If it is probable that a loss will result and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated, the Company will record a liability for the loss. If the loss is not probable or the amount of the loss cannot be reasonably estimated, the Company discloses the claim if the likelihood of a potential loss is reasonably possible and the amount involved could be material. While there can be no assurances, the Company does not expect that any of its pending legal proceedings will have a material financial impact on the Company's operating results.

The Company has evaluated all outstanding cases, including the following pending cases, and has recorded an aggregate of \$3.3 million as a litigation contingency liability with respect to its outstanding cases for amounts that are probable and estimable.

Western Sugar Cooperative

Pacific Ethanol, Inc., through a subsidiary acquired in its acquisition of Aventine, became involved in a pending lawsuit with Western Sugar Cooperative (“Western Sugar”) that pre-dated the Aventine acquisition.

On February 27, 2015, Western Sugar filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado (Case No. 1:15-cv-00415) naming Aventine Renewable Energy, Inc. (“ARE, Inc.”), one of Aventine’s subsidiaries, as defendant. Western Sugar amended its complaint on April 21, 2015. ARE, Inc. purchased surplus sugar through a United States Department of Agriculture (“USDA”) program. Western Sugar was one of the entities that warehoused this sugar for ARE, Inc. The suit alleges that ARE, Inc. breached a contract with Western Sugar by failing to pay increased rates that it demanded for the storage of ARE, Inc.’s sugar, or alternatively is due additional payment under Western Sugar’s equitable claims. Western Sugar alleges that its higher rates apply because ARE, Inc. failed to timely order the sugar for immediate delivery, thereby allowing Western Sugar to set its own storage rates and avoid the lower USDA contract rate that ARE, Inc. paid. Western Sugar claims damages in the amount of approximately \$8.6 million. ARE, Inc. filed answers to Western Sugar’s complaint and amended the complaint generally denying Western Sugar’s allegations and asserting various defenses, including that ARE Inc. never entered into a storage contract with Western Sugar, that ARE, Inc. paid the rate that Western Sugar was due under the governing USDA contract documents, or alternatively that ARE, Inc. paid a reasonable rate and that Western Sugar’s claimed rates constitute an impermissible penalty. The Company filed a motion for summary judgment seeking a determination that Western Sugar’s four claims fail as a matter of law. The court granted the motion in part and dismissed one claim, while finding that disputed factual matters remain for trial on Western Sugar’s other three claims. A trial date has not yet been scheduled, but is expected in the first half of 2017.

GS CleanTech Corporation

On May 24, 2013, GS CleanTech Corporation (“GS CleanTech”), filed a suit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, Sacramento Division (Case No.: 2:13-CV-01042-JAM-AC), naming Pacific Ethanol, Inc. as a defendant. On August 29, 2013, the case was transferred to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana and made part of the pre-existing multi-district litigation involving GS CleanTech and multiple defendants. The suit alleged infringement of a patent assigned to GS CleanTech by virtue of certain corn oil separation technology in use at one or more of the ethanol production facilities in which the Company has an interest, including Pacific Ethanol Stockton LLC (“PE Stockton”), located in Stockton, California. The complaint sought preliminary and permanent injunctions against the Company, prohibiting future infringement on the patent owned by GS CleanTech and damages in an unspecified amount adequate to compensate GS CleanTech for the alleged patent infringement, but in any event no less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the inventions of the patent, plus attorneys’ fees. The Company answered the complaint, counterclaimed that the patent claims at issue, as well as the claims in several related patents, are invalid and unenforceable and that the Company is not infringing. Pacific Ethanol, Inc. does not itself use any corn oil separation technology and may seek a dismissal on those grounds.

On March 17 and March 18, 2014, GS CleanTech filed suit naming as defendants two Company subsidiaries: PE Stockton and Pacific Ethanol Magic Valley, LLC (“PE Magic Valley”). The claims were similar to those filed against Pacific Ethanol, Inc. in May 2013. These two cases were transferred to the multi-district litigation division in United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana, where the case against Pacific Ethanol, Inc. was pending. Although PE Stockton and PE Magic Valley do separate and market corn oil, Pacific Ethanol, Inc., PE Stockton and PE Magic Valley strongly disagree that either of the subsidiaries use corn oil separation technology that infringes the patent owned by GS CleanTech. In a January 16, 2015 decision, the District Court for the Southern District of Indiana ruled in favor of a stipulated motion for partial summary judgment for Pacific Ethanol, Inc., PE Stockton and PE Magic Valley finding that all of the GS CleanTech patents in the suit are invalid and, therefore, not infringed. GS CleanTech said it would appeal this decision when the remaining claim in the suit has been decided. The only remaining claim alleged that GS CleanTech inequitably conducted itself before the United States Patent and Trademark Office when obtaining the patents at issue.

A trial in the District Court for the Southern District of Indiana was conducted in October 2015 on that single issue as well as whether GS CleanTech's behavior during prosecution of the patents renders this an "exceptional case" which would allow the District Court to award the Defendants reimbursement of their attorneys' fees expended for defense of the case.

On September 15, 2016, the District Court issued an Order finding that GS CleanTech, the inventors and GS CleanTech's counsel committed inequitable conduct in the prosecution of the GS CleanTech patents before the United States Patent and Trademark Office. As a result, the District Court issued a Final Judgment on September 15, 2016 dismissing with prejudice all of GS CleanTech's cases against the Defendants, including Pacific Ethanol, Inc., PE Stockton and PE Magic Valley. The District Court's ruling of inequitable conduct results in the unenforceability of the GS CleanTech patents against third parties, and also enables the Defendants to pursue reimbursement of their costs and attorneys' fees from GS CleanTech and its counsel. The Company believes that GS CleanTech may appeal the District Court's rulings as well as its earlier decision that the GS CleanTech patents are invalid.

The Company did not record a provision for these matters as of December 31, 2015 as the District Court had ruled that all of the GS CleanTech patents in the suit are invalid and, therefore, not infringed. Further, the Company believes a material adverse ruling on appeal against Pacific Ethanol, Inc., PE Stockton and/or PE Magic Valley is not probable.

8. PENSION AND RETIREMENT BENEFIT PLANS.

The Company, through its acquisition of Aventine, has assumed a defined benefit pension plan (the "Pension Plan") and a health care and life insurance plan (the "Postretirement Plan").

The Pension Plan is noncontributory, and covers unionized employees at the Company's Pekin, Illinois facility, who fulfill minimum age and service requirements. Benefits are based on a prescribed formula based upon the employee's years of service. The Pension Plan, part of a collective bargaining agreement, covers only Union employees hired prior to November 1, 2010. The Company uses a December 31 measurement date for its Pension Plan. The Company's funding policy is to make the minimum annual contributions that are required by applicable regulations. As of December 31, 2015, the Pension Plan's accumulated projected benefit obligation was \$16.6 million, with a fair value of plan assets of \$12.6 million. The underfunded amount of \$4.0 million is recorded on the Company's consolidated balance sheet in other noncurrent liabilities. For the three months ended September 30, 2016, the Pension Plan's net periodic expense was \$29,000, comprised of \$172,000 in interest cost and \$56,000 in service cost, partially offset by \$199,000 of expected return on plan assets. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016, the Pension Plan's net periodic expense was \$87,000, comprised of \$516,000 in interest cost and \$168,000 in service cost, partially offset by \$597,000 of expected return on plan assets.

The Postretirement Plan provides postretirement medical benefits and life insurance to certain "grandfathered" unionized employees. Employees hired after December 31, 2000 are not eligible to participate in the Postretirement Plan. The Postretirement Plan is contributory, with contributions required at the same rate as active employees. Benefit eligibility under the plan reduces at age 65 from a defined benefit to a defined collar cap based upon years of service. As of December 31, 2015, the Postretirement Plan's accumulated projected benefit obligation was \$3.6 million and is recorded on the Company's consolidated balance sheet in other noncurrent liabilities. The Company's funding policy is to make the minimum annual contributions that are required by applicable regulations. For the three months ended September 30, 2016, the Postretirement Plan's net periodic expense was \$47,000, comprised of \$35,000 of interest cost and \$12,000 of service cost. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016, the Postretirement Plan's net periodic expense was \$141,000, comprised of \$105,000 of interest cost and \$36,000 of service cost.

9. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS.

The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs used in valuation techniques into three levels, as follows:

- Level 1 – Observable inputs – unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities;
- Level 2 – Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability through corroboration with market data; and
- Level 3 – Unobservable inputs – includes amounts derived from valuation models where one or more significant inputs are unobservable. For fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs, a description of the inputs and the information used to develop the inputs is required along with a reconciliation of Level 3 values from the prior reporting period.

The Company records its warrants issued in December 2011 and July 2012 at fair value using Level 3 inputs.

Warrants – The Company’s warrants are valued using a Monte Carlo Binomial Lattice-Based valuation methodology, adjusted for marketability restrictions.

Significant assumptions used and related fair values for the warrants as of September 30, 2016 were as follows:

Original Issuance	Exercise Price	Volatility	Risk Free Interest Rate	Term (years)	Market Discount	Warrants Outstanding	Fair Value
7/3/2012	\$ 6.09	46.3%	0.52%	0.76	15.7%	211,000	\$ 296,000
12/13/2011	\$ 8.43	37.7%	0.25%	0.20	6.7%	138,000	30,000
							<u>\$ 326,000</u>

Significant assumptions used and related fair values for the warrants as of December 31, 2015 were as follows:

Original Issuance	Exercise Price	Volatility	Risk Free Interest Rate	Term (years)	Market Discount	Warrants Outstanding	Fair Value
7/3/2012	\$ 6.09	49.1%	0.86%	1.51	22.9%	211,000	\$ 200,000
12/13/2011	\$ 8.43	48.4%	0.65%	0.95	18.3%	138,000	73,000
							<u>\$ 273,000</u>

The estimated fair value of the warrants is affected by the above underlying inputs. Observable inputs include the values of exercise price, stock price, term and risk-free interest rate. As separate inputs, an increase (decrease) in either the term or risk free interest rate will result in an increase (decrease) in the estimated fair value of the warrant.

Unobservable inputs include volatility and market discount. An increase (decrease) in volatility will result in an increase (decrease) in the estimated warrant value and an increase (decrease) in the market discount will result in a decrease (increase) in the estimated warrant fair value.

The volatility utilized was a blended average of the Company’s historical volatility and implied volatilities derived from a selected peer group. The implied volatility component has remained relatively constant over time given that implied volatility is a forward-looking assumption based on observable trades in public option markets. Should the Company’s historical volatility increase (decrease) on a go-forward basis, the resulting value of the warrants would increase (decrease).

The market discount, or a discount for lack of marketability, is quantified using a Black-Scholes option pricing model, with a primary model input of assumed holding period restriction. As the assumed holding period increases (decreases), the market discount increases (decreases), conversely impacting the value of the warrant fair value.

Other Derivative Instruments – The Company’s other derivative instruments consist of commodity positions. The fair values of the commodity positions are based on quoted prices on the commodity exchanges and are designated as Level 1 inputs.

The following table summarizes recurring fair value measurements by level at September 30, 2016 (in thousands):

	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:				
Derivative financial instruments (1)	\$ 3,242	\$ 3,242	\$ –	\$ –
	<u>\$ 3,242</u>	<u>\$ 3,242</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ –</u>
Liabilities:				
Warrants (3)	\$ (326)	\$ –	\$ –	\$ (326)
Derivative financial instruments (4)	(3,492)	(3,492)	–	–
	<u>\$ (3,818)</u>	<u>\$ (3,492)</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ (326)</u>

The following table summarizes recurring fair value measurements by level at December 31, 2015 (in thousands):

	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:				
Derivative financial instruments (1)	\$ 2,081	\$ 2,081	\$ –	\$ –
Defined benefit plan assets (2) (pooled separate accounts):				
Large U.S. Equity	3,662	–	3,662	–
Small/Mid U.S. Equity	1,099	–	1,099	–
International Equity	1,525	–	1,525	–
Fixed Income	6,281	–	6,281	–
	<u>\$ 14,648</u>	<u>\$ 2,081</u>	<u>\$ 12,567</u>	<u>\$ –</u>
Liabilities:				
Warrants (3)	\$ (273)	\$ –	\$ –	\$ (273)
Derivative financial instruments (4)	(1,848)	(1,848)	–	–
	<u>\$ (2,121)</u>	<u>\$ (1,848)</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ (273)</u>

(1) Included in derivative instruments in the consolidated balance sheets.

(2) Fair values of plan assets are determined annually and therefore are not included as of September 30, 2016. For further descriptions of these assets see the Company’s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

(3) Included in warrant liabilities at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets.

(4) Included in derivative instruments in the consolidated balance sheets.

For fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), a description of the inputs and the information used to develop the inputs is required along with a reconciliation of Level 3 values from the prior reporting period. The changes in the Company’s fair value of its Level 3 inputs with respect to its warrants were as follows (in thousands):

Balance, December 31, 2015	\$ 273
Adjustments to fair value for the period	53
Balance, September 30, 2016	<u>\$ 326</u>

10. EARNINGS PER SHARE.

The following tables compute basic and diluted earnings per share (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2016		
	Loss Numerator	Shares Denominator	Per-Share Amount
Net loss attributed to Pacific Ethanol	\$ (3,518)		
Less: Preferred stock dividends	(319)		
Basic and diluted loss per share:			
Loss available to common stockholders	<u>\$ (3,837)</u>	<u>42,226</u>	<u>\$ (0.09)</u>

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2015		
	Loss Numerator	Shares Denominator	Per-Share Amount
Net loss attributed to Pacific Ethanol	\$ (14,663)		
Less: Preferred stock dividends	(319)		
Basic and diluted loss per share:			
Loss available to common stockholders	<u>\$ (14,982)</u>	<u>41,861</u>	<u>\$ (0.36)</u>

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016		
	Loss Numerator	Shares Denominator	Per-Share Amount
Net loss attributed to Pacific Ethanol	\$ (11,658)		
Less: Preferred stock dividends	(949)		
Basic and diluted loss per share:			
Loss available to common stockholders	<u>\$ (12,607)</u>	<u>42,156</u>	<u>\$ (0.30)</u>

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015		
	Loss Numerator	Shares Denominator	Per-Share Amount
Net loss attributed to Pacific Ethanol	\$ (18,033)		
Less: Preferred stock dividends	(946)		
Basic and diluted loss per share:			
Loss available to common stockholders	<u>\$ (18,979)</u>	<u>30,170</u>	<u>\$ (0.63)</u>

There were an aggregate of 731,000 and 679,000 potentially dilutive weighted-average shares from the Company's warrants and shares of Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock outstanding for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively. These convertible securities were not considered in calculating net loss per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, as their effect would have been anti-dilutive.

11. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTION.

During the three months ended September 30, 2016, the Company entered into a contract to purchase corn from Watermark Farms, Inc., a company owned jointly by one of the Company's unaffiliated grain procurement agents and a director of the Company. The total delivered price of \$128,063 is expected to be settled by the end of 2016. At September 30, 2016, there were no amounts payable by the Company under these arrangements.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. This report and our consolidated financial statements and notes to consolidated financial statements contain forward-looking statements, which generally include the plans and objectives of management for future operations, including plans and objectives relating to our future economic performance and our current beliefs regarding revenues we might generate and profits we might earn if we are successful in implementing our business and growth strategies. The forward-looking statements and associated risks may include, relate to or be qualified by other important factors, including:

- fluctuations in the market price of ethanol and its co-products;
- fluctuations in the costs of key production input commodities such as corn and natural gas;
- the projected growth or contraction in the ethanol and co-product markets in which we operate;
- our strategies for expanding, maintaining or contracting our presence in these markets;
- anticipated trends in our financial condition and results of operations; and
- our ability to distinguish ourselves from our current and future competitors.

You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report, or in the case of a document incorporated by reference, as of the date of that document. We do not undertake to update, revise or correct any forward-looking statements, except as required by law.

Any of the factors described immediately above, or referenced from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission or in the "Risk Factors" section below could cause our financial results, including our net income or loss or growth in net income or loss, to differ materially from prior results, which in turn could, among other things, cause the price of our common stock to fluctuate substantially.

Overview

We are a leading producer and marketer of low-carbon renewable fuels in the United States.

We own and operate eight strategically-located ethanol production facilities. Four of our plants are in the Western states of California, Oregon and Idaho, or the Pacific Ethanol West plants; and four of our plants are located in the Midwestern states of Illinois and Nebraska, or the Pacific Ethanol Central plants. The Pacific Ethanol Central plants were acquired in our acquisition of Aventine Renewable Energy Holdings, Inc., or Aventine, on July 1, 2015. Our plants have a combined ethanol production capacity of 515 million gallons per year. We are the sixth largest producer of ethanol in the United States based on annualized volumes. We market all the ethanol and co-products produced at our eight plants as well as ethanol produced by third parties. On an annualized basis, we market over 800 million gallons of ethanol and over 1.5 million tons of ethanol co-products on a dry matter basis. Our business consists of two operating segments: a production segment and a marketing segment.

Our mission is to advance our position and significantly increase our market share as a leading producer and marketer of low-carbon renewable fuels in the United States. We intend to accomplish this goal in part by expanding our ethanol production capacity and distribution infrastructure, accretive acquisitions, lowering the carbon intensity of our ethanol, extending our marketing business into new regional and international markets, and implementing new technologies to promote higher production yields and greater efficiencies.

Production Segment

We produce ethanol and co-products at our eight production facilities described below. Our Pacific Ethanol West plants are located on the West Coast near their respective fuel and feed customers, offering significant timing, transportation cost and logistical advantages. Our Pacific Ethanol Central plants are located in the Midwest in the heart of the Corn Belt, benefit from low-cost and abundant feedstock production and allow for access to many additional domestic markets. In addition, our ability to load unit trains from the Pacific Ethanol Central plants allows for greater access to international markets.

	Facility Name	Facility Location	Estimated Annual Capacity (gallons)
<i>Pacific Ethanol West</i> {	Magic Valley	Burley, ID	60,000,000
	Columbia	Boardman, OR	40,000,000
	Stockton	Stockton, CA	60,000,000
	Madera	Madera, CA	40,000,000
<i>Pacific Ethanol Central</i> {	Aurora West	Aurora, NE	110,000,000
	Aurora East	Aurora, NE	45,000,000
	Pekin Wet	Pekin, IL	100,000,000
	Pekin Dry	Pekin, IL	60,000,000

We produce ethanol co-products at our eight production facilities such as wet distillers grains, or WDG, dry distillers grains with solubles, wet and dry corn gluten feed, condensed distillers solubles, corn gluten meal, corn germ, corn oil, distillers yeast and CO₂.

Marketing Segment

We market ethanol and co-products produced by our eight ethanol production facilities and market ethanol produced by third parties. We have extensive customer relationships throughout the Western and Midwestern United States. Our ethanol customers are integrated oil companies and gasoline marketers who blend ethanol into gasoline. Our customers depend on us to provide a reliable supply of ethanol, and manage the logistics and timing of delivery with very little effort on their part. Our customers collectively require ethanol volumes in excess of the supplies we produce at our eight production facilities. We secure additional ethanol supplies from third party plants in California and other third party suppliers in the Midwest where a majority of ethanol producers are located. We arrange for transportation, storage and delivery of ethanol purchased by our customers through our agreements with third-party service providers in the Western United States as well as in the Midwest from a variety of sources.

We market our distillers grains and other feed co-products to dairies and feedlots, in many cases located near our ethanol plants. These customers use our feed co-products for livestock as a substitute for corn and other sources of starch and protein. We sell our corn oil to poultry and biodiesel customers. We do not market co-products from other ethanol producers.

Current Initiatives and Outlook

During the third quarter of 2016, we continued to experience improved crush margins, which reflect ethanol and co-product sales prices relative to production inputs such as corn and natural gas. Our results in the third quarter, however, were negatively impacted by higher beginning inventory valuation, lower margins in our ethanol trading business resulting from the intra-quarter drop in ethanol prices, significant repair expenses at our Pekin facility and non-cash mark-to-market adjustments related to our open hedge positions.

We have increased our production capacity utilization by approximately ten percent and we are nearing an annual run rate of nearly 1.0 billion total gallons sold from our expanded production and marketing platform.

Domestic and global demand for ethanol as a preferred high-octane, low-carbon fuel source continues to be strong. Daily production industry run rates have recently declined and the number of days of supply on hand recently reached its lowest levels of the year, contributing to a tight supply and demand balance. Corn prices remain stable, at around \$3.50 per bushel, as farmers expect to harvest the largest U.S. corn crop ever, while ethanol prices have remained firm, supporting an improved margin environment. Fourth quarter margins have thus far been stronger than average margins in the third quarter.

Net exports of ethanol remain robust and are on track to exceed 2015 levels, with an expectation of up to 1.0 billion gallons exported in 2016. Ethanol remains the lowest cost and cleanest source of octane to meet the growing demand for octane worldwide.

The Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, recently submitted its final 2017 blending targets for the national Renewable Fuel Standard, proposing to increase conventional renewable fuel to 14.8 billion gallons in 2017 from 14.3 billion gallons in 2016. The EPA expects blending to rise from 10.1% of U.S. fuel supplies in 2016 to 10.4% in 2017, demonstrating that the industry is moving beyond the so-called 10% "blend wall". In addition, we expect Renewable Identification Number, or RIN, surpluses to decline in 2017, sending price signals to incorporate higher physical volumes of ethanol into the U.S. fuel supply.

The regulatory environment continues to support long-term demand for renewable fuels and investments in new technologies that improve carbon scores and generate higher premiums on the ethanol we produce. Low-Carbon Fuel Standards in California and Oregon require refiners to reduce the carbon intensity of their fuels in increasing amounts to 10% by 2020 in California and by 2025 in Oregon. We believe this mandate will require a significant amount of low-carbon fuel to displace gasoline in the California and Oregon fuel supplies. Currently, we receive a \$0.08 per gallon premium over Midwest ethanol on each California production gallon sold into the California market. We expect to see a comparable premium for low-carbon ethanol we sell into the Oregon market. In addition, the national Renewable Fuel Standard continues to support the long-term demand for renewable fuels.

We see a supportive environment for ethanol into 2017 given strong ethanol demand and a record corn crop. We also expect domestic ethanol demand to strengthen as E15 expands, driven by new infrastructure and higher blend levels mandated by the national Renewable Fuel Standard.

We continue to focus on implementing plant improvement projects to optimize our production, lower our carbon score and produce meaningful near-term returns.

During the third quarter, we received the first ever approved registration from the EPA for producing cellulosic ethanol from corn fiber at our Stockton plant, qualifying this ethanol for special premiums over conventional ethanol. We are targeting yield increases of greater than 2% and anticipate producing over 1.0 million gallons of cellulosic ethanol at this facility annually. Through high-value D3 RINs, carbon credits under California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard and the federal Second Generation Biofuel Producer tax credit, we expect to achieve premiums of at least \$2.00 per gallon for this cellulosic ethanol. We are evaluating whether to expand corn fiber cellulosic ethanol production to our other facilities and we are looking for additional regulatory certainty extending California's Low-Carbon Fuel Standard beyond 2020 to support the development of additional cellulosic ethanol projects.

We contracted to install a five megawatt solar photovoltaic power system at our Madera plant, the first ever commercial solar power system installed at a U.S. ethanol facility. We expect the system to lower our operating costs by displacing one-third of the grid electricity currently used. We also recently initiated start-up of an industrial scale membrane system at our Madera facility that separates water from ethanol during the plant's dehydration process. We expect these technologies to increase operating efficiencies, lower production costs and reduce the carbon intensity of ethanol produced at our Madera facility, further driving premium pricing on ethanol produced at the facility.

We are also working on cogeneration technology at our Stockton plant that will convert process waste gas and natural gas into electricity and steam, lowering air emissions and energy costs by an estimated \$3.0 million to \$4.0 million per year. We expect to begin commercial operations of this technology in December.

We intend to continue to leverage our diverse base of production and marketing assets to expand our share of the renewable fuels and ethanol co-product markets. We also intend to continue to invest in plant improvement initiatives using innovative technologies that generate meaningful near-term returns by improving plant efficiencies, reducing our carbon score and enhancing our profitability.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, requires us to make judgments and estimates that may have a significant impact upon the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations. We believe that of our significant accounting policies, the following require estimates and assumptions that require complex, subjective judgments by management that can materially impact the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations: revenue recognition; warrants and conversion features carried at fair value; impairment of long-lived and intangible assets; valuation of allowance for deferred taxes, derivative instruments, accounting for business combinations and allowance for doubtful accounts. These significant accounting principles are more fully described in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Critical Accounting Policies” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Results of Operations

The following selected financial information should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report, and the other sections of “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” contained in this report.

Certain performance metrics that we believe are important indicators of our results of operations include:

	Three Months Ended		Percentage	Nine Months Ended		Percentage
	September 30,			September 30,		
	2016	2015	Variance	2016	2015	Variance
Production gallons sold (in millions)	125.5	109.6	14.5%	360.9	201.7	78.9%
Third party gallons sold (in millions)	118.2	102.0	15.9%	322.6	286.3	12.7%
Total gallons sold (in millions)	243.7	211.6	15.2%	683.5	488.0	40.1%
Ethanol production capacity utilization	96%	87%	10.3%	92%	90%	2.2%
Average sales price per gallon	\$ 1.62	\$ 1.67	(3.0)%	\$ 1.63	\$ 1.69	(3.6)%
Corn cost per bushel – CBOT equivalent	\$ 3.58	\$ 3.83	(6.5)%	\$ 3.70	\$ 3.81	(2.9)%
Average basis ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.37	(32.4)%	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.62	(56.5)%
Delivered cost of corn	\$ 3.83	\$ 4.20	(8.8)%	\$ 3.97	\$ 4.43	(10.4)%
Total co-product tons sold (in thousands)	702.1	670.0	4.8%	2,050.3	1,398.1	46.6%
Co-product revenues as % of delivered cost of corn ⁽²⁾	35.7%	38.0%	(6.1)%	35.3%	35.8%	(1.4)%
Average CBOT ethanol price per gallon	\$ 1.49	\$ 1.51	(1.3)%	\$ 1.49	\$ 1.53	(2.6)%
Average CBOT corn price per bushel	\$ 3.31	\$ 3.83	(13.6)%	\$ 3.62	\$ 3.78	(4.2)%

(1) Corn basis represents the difference between the immediate cash price of delivered corn and the future price of corn for Chicago delivery.

(2) Co-product revenues as a percentage of delivered cost of corn shows our yield based on sales of co-products, including WDG and corn oil, generated from ethanol we produced.

Net Sales, Cost of Goods Sold and Gross Profit

The following table presents our net sales, cost of goods sold and gross profit in dollars and gross profit as a percentage of net sales (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2016		2015		2016		2015	
			Dollars	Percent			Dollars	Percent
Net sales	\$ 417,806	\$ 380,622	\$ 37,184	9.8%	\$ 1,183,039	\$ 814,419	\$ 368,620	45.3%
Cost of goods sold	411,442	388,002	23,440	6.0%	1,157,902	816,532	341,370	41.8%
Gross profit (loss)	\$ 6,364	\$ (7,380)	\$ 13,744	NM	\$ 25,137	\$ (2,113)	\$ 27,250	NM
Percentage of net sales	1.5%	(1.9)%			2.1%	(0.3)%		

Net Sales

The increase in our net sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same periods in 2015 was due to an increase in our total gallons and co-products sold, partially offset by a decrease in our average sales price per gallon. We increased both production and third party gallons sold, and our volume of co-products sold, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015. The increases in volumes of our production gallons and co-products sold are primarily due to additional volumes from our new Pacific Ethanol Central plants in the Midwest. In addition, we expanded our customer base and our sales to a larger national footprint with the addition of regions we cover with our Midwest plants.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2016

On a consolidated basis, our average sales price per gallon decreased 3.0% to \$1.62 for the three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to our average sales price per gallon of \$1.67 for the same period in 2015. The average Chicago Board of Trade, or CBOT, ethanol price per gallon, declined 1.3% to \$1.49 for the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the average CBOT ethanol price per gallon of \$1.51 for the same period in 2015.

Production Segment

Net sales of ethanol from our production segment increased by \$19.1 million, or 10%, to \$201.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to \$182.1 million for the same period in 2015. Our total volume of production ethanol gallons sold increased by 15.9 million gallons, or 15%, to 125.5 million gallons for the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to 109.6 million gallons for the same period in 2015. Our production segment's average sales price per gallon decreased 3.3% to \$1.48 for the three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to our production segment's average sales price per gallon of \$1.53 for the same period in 2015. At our production segment's average sales price per gallon of \$1.48 for the three months ended September 30, 2016, we generated \$23.5 million in additional net sales from our production segment from the 15.9 million additional gallons of produced ethanol sold in the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015. The decline of \$0.05 in our average sales price per gallon for the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015 reduced our net sales of ethanol from our production segment by \$4.4 million.

Net sales of co-products decreased \$1.1 million, or 2%, to \$64.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to \$65.2 million for the same period in 2015. Our total volume of co-products sold increased by 32.1 thousand tons, or 5%, to 702.1 thousand tons for three months ended September 30, 2016 from 670.0 thousand tons for the same period in 2015. At our average sales price per ton of \$86.97 for the three months ended September 30, 2016, we generated \$2.8 million in additional net sales from the 32.1 thousand additional tons of co-products sold in the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015. In addition, the decrease of \$6.05, or 7%, in our average sales price per ton for the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015 reduced net sales of co-products by \$3.9 million.

Marketing Segment

Net sales of ethanol from our marketing segment increased by \$16.9 million, or 13%, to \$147.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to \$131.0 million for the same period in 2015. Our total volume of ethanol gallons sold by our marketing segment increased by 32.1 million gallons, or 15%, to 243.7 million gallons for the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to 211.6 million gallons for the same period in 2015. Our additional production gallons sold accounted for 15.9 million gallons of this increase, as noted above, our additional third-party gallons sold accounted for 14.1 million gallons of this increase, and our additional agent gallons accounted for 2.1 million gallons of this increase.

The increase in production gallons sold by our marketing segment contributed an additional \$0.2 million in net sales generated by our marketing segment, which were eliminated upon consolidation.

Our marketing segment's average sales price per gallon decreased 4.6% to \$1.65 for the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to \$1.73 for the same period in 2015. At our average sales price per gallon of \$1.65 for the three months ended September 30, 2016, we generated \$23.2 million in additional net sales from our marketing segment from the 14.1 million gallons in additional third-party ethanol sold in the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016

On a consolidated basis, our average sales price per gallon decreased 3.6% to \$1.63 for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to our average sales price per gallon of \$1.69 for the same period in 2015. The average CBOT ethanol price per gallon declined 2.6% to \$1.49 for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to an average CBOT ethanol price per gallon of \$1.53 for the same period in 2015.

Production Segment

Net sales of ethanol from our production segment increased by \$235.9 million, or 70%, to \$574.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to \$339.0 million for the same period in 2015. Our total volume of production ethanol gallons sold increased by 159.2 million gallons, or 79%, to 360.9 million gallons for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to 201.7 million gallons for the same period in 2015. Our production segment's average sales price per gallon decreased 4.2% to \$1.58 for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to our production segment's average sales price per gallon of \$1.65 for the same period in 2015. Of the additional 159.2 million gallons of ethanol sold in the nine months ended September 30, 2016, an aggregate of 164.7 million gallons were attributable to production at our Midwestern plants which we acquired on July 1, 2015, slightly offset by 5.5 million fewer gallons produced at our Western plants. At our production segment's average sales price per gallon of \$1.58 for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, we generated \$251.5 million in additional net sales from our production segment from the 159.2 million additional gallons of produced ethanol sold in the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015. The decline of \$0.07 in our average sales price per gallon for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015 reduced our net sales of ethanol from our production segment by \$15.6 million.

Net sales of co-products increased \$73.2 million, or 63%, to \$189.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to \$115.8 million for the same period in 2015. Our total volume of co-products sold increased by 652.2 thousand tons, or 47%, to 2,050.3 thousand tons for nine months ended September 30, 2016 from 1,398.1 thousand tons for the same period in 2015. At our average sales price per ton of \$87.56 for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, we generated \$57.1 million in additional net sales from the 652.2 thousand additional tons of co-products sold in the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015. In addition, the increase of \$7.72, or 10%, in our average sales price per ton for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015 increased net sales of co-products by \$16.1 million.

Marketing Segment

Net sales of ethanol from our marketing segment increased by \$52.5 million, or 15%, to \$406.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to \$353.5 million for the same period in 2015. Our total volume of ethanol gallons sold by our marketing segment increased by 195.5 million gallons, or 40%, to 683.5 million gallons for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to 488.0 million gallons for the same period in 2015. Our additional production gallons sold accounted for 159.2 million gallons of this increase, as noted above, and our additional third-party gallons sold accounted for 36.3 million gallons of this increase.

The increase in production gallons sold by our marketing segment contributed an additional \$2.5 million in net sales generated by our marketing segment, which were eliminated upon consolidation.

Our marketing segment's average sales price per gallon decreased 2.3% to \$1.68 for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to \$1.72 for the same period in 2015. At our average sales price per gallon of \$1.68 for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, we generated \$60.7 million in additional net sales from our marketing segment from the 36.3 million gallons in additional third-party ethanol sold in the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015. However, the decline of \$0.04 in our average sales price per gallon for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015 reduced our net sales from third party ethanol sold by our marketing segment by \$8.2 million.

Cost of Goods Sold and Gross Profit

Our consolidated gross profit increased primarily due to improved crush margins, which reflect ethanol and co-product sales prices relative to production inputs such as corn and natural gas, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to the same periods in 2015.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2016

Our consolidated gross profit increased to \$6.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to a gross loss of \$7.4 million for the same period in 2015, representing a gross margin of 1.5% for the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to a gross margin of negative 1.9% for the same period in 2015.

Production Segment

Our production segment increased our consolidated gross profit by \$17.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015. Of this amount, \$16.3 million in higher gross profit is attributable to higher production margins in the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015 and \$0.8 million is attributable to the 15.9 million gallon increase in production volumes sold in the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015. In addition, we recorded approximately \$8.7 million in additional cost of goods sold related to purchase accounting adjustments for the three months ended September 30, 2015 associated with the Aventine acquisition. These adjustments did not recur in 2016.

Marketing Segment

Our marketing segment decreased our consolidated gross profit by \$3.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015. Of this amount, \$3.4 million in lower gross profit is attributable lower margins per gallon for the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015, which was only slightly offset by negligible additional gross profit attributable to the 16.2 million gallon increase in third party marketing volumes in the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016

Our consolidated gross profit increased to \$25.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to a gross loss of \$2.1 million for the same period in 2015, representing a gross margin of 2.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to a gross margin of negative 0.3% for the same period in 2015.

Production Segment

Our production segment increased our consolidated gross profit by \$22.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015. Of this amount, \$15.1 million is attributed to higher production margins in the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015 and \$7.0 million is attributable to the 159.2 million gallon increase in production volumes sold in the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015. In addition, we recorded approximately \$8.7 million in additional cost of goods sold related to purchase accounting adjustments for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 associated with the Aventine acquisition. These adjustments did not recur in 2016.

Marketing Segment

Our marketing segment increased our consolidated gross profit by \$5.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015. Of this amount, \$3.8 million is attributable to additional gross profit from our higher margins per gallon for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015 and \$1.4 million is attributable to additional gross profit from the 36.3 million gallon increase in third-party marketing volumes in the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

The following table presents our selling, general and administrative, or SG&A, expenses in dollars and as a percentage of net sales (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended		Variance in		Nine Months Ended		Variance in	
	September 30,				September 30,			
	2016	2015	Dollars	Percent	2016	2015	Dollars	Percent
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$ 5,971	\$ 7,446	\$ (1,475)	(19.8)%	\$ 20,436	\$ 16,344	\$ 4,092	25.0%
Percentage of net sales	1.4%	2.0%			1.7%	2.0%		

Our SG&A expenses decreased \$1.4 million to \$6.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to \$7.4 million for the same period in 2015. SG&A expenses also decreased as a percentage of net sales for the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015. The decrease in SG&A expenses is primarily due to lower employee salary-related items and lower professional fees. These reductions are due to the initial integration efforts in the comparable period in 2015, which did not recur for the three months ended September 30, 2016, related to our Pacific Ethanol Central operations from the Aventine acquisition which occurred on July 1, 2015. SG&A expenses were lower than our prior guidance of \$7.0 million in part due to lower professional fees.

Our SG&A expenses increased \$4.1 million to \$20.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to \$16.3 million for the same period in 2015. The increase in SG&A expenses is primarily due to the addition of our Pacific Ethanol Central operations for the entire nine month period ended September 30, 2016 but which are reflected, however, only in our SG&A expenses for the three month period ended September 30, 2015 as we closed the Aventine acquisition on July 1, 2015.

Interest Expense, net

The following table presents our interest expense, net in dollars and as a percentage of net sales (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Variance in		Nine Months Ended September 30,		Variance in	
	2016	2015	Dollars	Percent	2016	2015	Dollars	Percent
	Interest expense, net	\$ 3,874	\$ 5,167	\$ (1,293)	(25.0)%	\$ 16,643	\$ 7,187	\$ 9,456
Percentage of net sales	0.9%	1.4%			1.4%	0.9%		

Interest expense, net decreased by \$1.3 million to \$3.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 from \$5.2 million for the same period in 2015. Interest expense, net increased by \$9.4 million to \$16.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 from \$7.2 million for the same period in 2015. The increase in interest expense, net for these periods is primarily related to the Pacific Ethanol Central plants' term debt that we assumed, on a consolidated basis, in connection with the Aventine acquisition, partially offset by capitalized interest of \$1.1 million, most of which is attributable to a catch-up accrual for the entire year related to our large projects in process.

Loss Available to Common Stockholders

The following table presents our loss available to common stockholders in dollars and as a percentage of net sales (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Variance in		Nine Months Ended September 30,		Variance in	
	2016	2015	Dollars	Percent	2016	2015	Dollars	Percent
	Loss available to common stockholders	\$ (3,837)	\$ (14,982)	\$ (11,145)	(74.4)%	\$ (12,607)	\$ (18,979)	\$ (6,372)
Percentage of net sales	(0.9)%	(3.9)%			(1.1)%	(2.3)%		

The improvement in net loss available to common stockholders for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to 2015, is due to improved crush margins and lower SG&A expenses in the three months ended September 30, 2016.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, we funded our operations primarily from cash on hand, cash flow from operations, proceeds from cash collateralized letters of credit and proceeds from tax refunds. These funds were also used to make capital expenditures, capital lease payments and payments to fully extinguish our term loan balance associated with the Pacific Ethanol West plants.

Our current available capital resources consist of cash on hand and amounts available for borrowing under Kinerger's credit facility. We expect that our future available capital resources will consist primarily of our remaining cash balances, amounts available for borrowing, if any, under Kinerger's credit facility, cash generated from our operations and tax refunds related to prior years.

We believe that current and future available capital resources, revenues generated from operations, and other existing sources of liquidity, including our credit facilities, will be adequate to meet our anticipated working capital and capital expenditure requirements for at least the next twelve months. We have reclassified \$155.1 million of long-term debt to current liabilities as the maturity date of the debt associated with our Pacific Ethanol Central plants is now within one year. We are currently pursuing alternatives to refinance this debt and intend to refinance the debt to long-term debt well before its maturity date in September 2017.

Quantitative Quarter-End Liquidity Status

We believe that the following amounts provide insight into our liquidity and capital resources. The following selected financial information should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report, and the other sections of “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” contained in this report (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015	Change
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 40,639	\$ 52,712	(22.9)%
Current assets	\$ 197,218	\$ 197,942	(0.4)%
Current liabilities	\$ 213,099	\$ 72,909	192.3%
Long-term debt, net of current portion	\$ 63,552	\$ 203,861	(68.8)%
Working capital	\$ (15,881)	\$ 125,033	NM
Working capital ratio	0.93	2.71	(65.7)%

Restricted Net Assets

At September 30, 2016, we had approximately \$127.9 million of net assets at our subsidiaries that were not available to be transferred to the parent company in the form of dividends, loans or advances due to restrictions contained in the credit facilities of these subsidiaries.

Change in Working Capital and Cash Flows

Working capital decreased to negative \$15.9 million at September 30, 2016 from \$125.0 million at December 31, 2015 primarily as a result of our reclassification of \$155.1 million of long-term debt to current liabilities as the maturity date of the debt associated with our Pacific Ethanol Central plants is now within one year.

Our cash and cash equivalents declined by \$12.1 million at September 30, 2016 as compared to December 31, 2015 due to \$9.9 million of cash used in our investing activities and \$17.2 million of cash used in our financing activities, partially offset by cash flows from operations of \$15.0 million, as discussed below.

Our current liabilities increased by \$140.2 million primarily due to our reclassification of the long-term debt associated with our Pacific Ethanol Central plants to current liabilities, as discussed above, and an increase in derivative instruments of \$1.6 million.

Cash Provided by our Operating Activities

Cash provided by our operating activities increased by \$32.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015. The increase in cash provided by our operating activities is primarily due to a lower net loss resulting from improved margins and the following additional factors:

- an increase in depreciation and amortization of \$11.4 million due to additional assets subject to depreciation and amortization from our acquisition of Aventine;
- interest expense added to term debt of \$9.5 million;
- a decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$6.2 million primarily due to the timing of payables; and
- a decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets of \$6.9 million primarily due to income tax refunds.

These amounts were partially offset by:

- an increase in accounts receivable of \$1.8 million primarily due to higher sales volumes attributable to our new Pacific Ethanol Central operations; and
- an increase in inventory and prepaid inventory of \$6.1 million primarily due to higher sales volumes attributable to our new Pacific Ethanol Central operations.

Cash used in our Investing Activities

Cash used in our investing activities increased by \$6.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015. The increase in cash used in our investing activities is primarily due to net cash of \$18.8 million acquired in connection with our acquisition of Aventine upon closing the transaction for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, which did not recur for the same period in 2016, as well as \$4.1 million in proceeds from cash collateralized letters of credit for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to \$4.6 million in purchases of cash collateralized letters of credit for the same period in 2015. In addition, we spent \$3.6 million less on capital projects for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015.

Cash used in our Financing Activities

Cash used in our financing activities increased by \$29.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015. The increase in cash used in our financing activities is primarily due to a \$26.8 million reduction in proceeds from our Kinergy line of credit and a \$17.0 million principal payment on our term debt associated with the Pacific Ethanol West plants.

Kinergy Operating Line of Credit

Kinergy maintains an operating line of credit for an aggregate amount of up to \$75.0 million. The credit facility expires on December 31, 2020. Interest accrues under the credit facility at a rate equal to (i) the three-month London Interbank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”), plus (ii) a specified applicable margin ranging from 1.75% to 2.75%. The credit facility’s monthly unused line fee is 0.25% to 0.375% of the amount by which the maximum credit under the facility exceeds the average daily principal balance during the immediately preceding month. Payments that may be made by Kinergy to Pacific Ethanol as reimbursement for management and other services provided by Pacific Ethanol to Kinergy are limited under the terms of the credit facility to \$1.5 million per fiscal quarter. Payments that may be made by Pacific Ag. Products, LLC, or PAP, to Pacific Ethanol as reimbursement for management and other services provided by Pacific Ethanol to PAP are limited under the terms of the credit facility to \$0.5 million per fiscal quarter. PAP, one of our indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries, markets our co-products and also provides raw material procurement services to our subsidiaries. The credit facility also includes the accounts receivable of PAP as additional collateral.

For all monthly periods in which excess availability falls below a specified level, Kinergy and PAP must collectively maintain a fixed-charge coverage ratio (calculated as a twelve-month rolling earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) divided by the sum of interest expense, capital expenditures, principal payments of indebtedness, indebtedness from capital leases and taxes paid during such twelve-month rolling period) of at least 2.0 and are prohibited from incurring certain additional indebtedness (other than specific intercompany indebtedness). Kinergy’s and PAP’s obligations under the credit facility are secured by a first-priority security interest in all of their assets in favor of the lender. Kinergy and PAP believe they are in compliance with this covenant.

The following table summarizes Kinergy’s financial covenants and actual results for the periods presented (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Years Ended December 31,	
	2016	2015	2015	2014
Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio Requirement	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Actual	9.38	12.24	10.02	17.66
Excess	7.38	10.24	8.02	15.66

Pacific Ethanol has guaranteed all of Kinergy’s obligations under the credit facility. As of September 30, 2016, Kinergy had an outstanding balance of \$63.9 million and an available borrowing base under the credit facility of \$75.0 million, representing \$11.1 million of excess availability under the credit facility.

Pacific Ethanol Central Term Debt

On July 1, 2015, upon effectiveness of the Aventine acquisition, each of Aventine's subsidiaries became our direct or indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries and, on a consolidated basis, the combined company became obligated with respect to Pacific Ethanol Central's term loan. Pacific Ethanol Central's creditors under its term loan have recourse solely against Pacific Ethanol Central's assets and not against Pacific Ethanol, Inc. or its other direct or indirect subsidiaries.

As of September 30, 2016, the term loan facility for the Pacific Ethanol Central plants had an outstanding balance of approximately \$155.1 million. Interest on the term loan facility accrues and may be paid in cash at a rate of 10.5% per annum or may be paid in-kind at a rate of 15.0% per annum by adding the interest to the outstanding principal balance. If we elect to pay interest in-kind, the interest is capitalized at the end of each quarter. For the three months ended September 30, 2016, we elected to pay in cash the interest payments on the term debt in the aggregate amount of \$4.2 million. The term loan facility matures on September 24, 2017. The term loan facility is secured through a first-priority lien on substantially all of Pacific Ethanol Central's assets and contains customary financial covenants, and a requirement that the Pacific Ethanol Central entities maintain a cash balance of at least \$2.0 million.

We continue to evaluate and pursue opportunities to refinance the term debt for the Pacific Ethanol Central plants.

Contractual Obligations

There have been no material changes in the nine months ended September 30, 2016 to the amounts presented in the table under the "Contractual Obligations" section in Part II, Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2015.

Effects of Inflation

The impact of inflation was not significant to our financial condition or results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

We are exposed to various market risks, including changes in commodity prices and interest rates as discussed below. Market risk is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices. In the ordinary course of business, we may enter into various types of transactions involving financial instruments to manage and reduce the impact of changes in commodity prices and interest rates. We do not expect to have any exposure to foreign currency risk as we conduct all of our transactions in U.S. dollars.

Commodity Risk

We produce ethanol and ethanol co-products. Our business is sensitive to changes in the prices of each of ethanol and corn. In the ordinary course of business, we may enter into various types of transactions involving financial instruments to manage and reduce the impact of changes in ethanol and corn prices. We do not enter into derivatives or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

We are subject to market risk with respect to ethanol pricing. Ethanol prices are sensitive to global and domestic ethanol supply, crude-oil supply and demand; crude-oil refining capacity; carbon intensity; government regulation; and consumer demand for alternative fuels. Our ethanol sales are priced using contracts that are either based on a fixed price or an indexed price tied to a specific market, such as CBOT or the Oil Price Information Service. Under these fixed-priced arrangements, we are exposed to risk of a decrease in the market price of ethanol between the time the price is fixed and the time the ethanol is sold.

We satisfy our physical corn needs, the principal raw material used to produce ethanol and ethanol co-products, based on supply-guaranteed contracts with our vendors. Generally, we determine the purchase price of our corn at the time we begin to grind that day's needs. Sometimes, we may also enter into contracts with our vendors to fix a portion of the purchase price of our corn requirements. As such, we are also subject to market risk with respect to the price of corn. The price of corn is subject to wide fluctuations due to unpredictable factors such as weather conditions, farmer planting decisions, governmental policies with respect to agriculture and international trade and global supply and demand. Under the fixed-price arrangements, we assume the risk of a decrease in the market price of corn between the time this price is fixed and the time the corn is utilized.

Ethanol co-products are sensitive to various demand factors such as numbers of livestock on feed, prices for feed alternatives, and supply factors, primarily production of ethanol co-products by ethanol plants and other sources.

As noted above, we may attempt to reduce the market risk associated with fluctuations in the price of ethanol or corn by employing a variety of risk management and hedging strategies. Strategies include the use of derivative financial instruments such as futures and options executed on the CBOT and/or the New York Mercantile Exchange, as well as the daily management of physical corn.

These derivatives are not designated for special hedge accounting treatment, and as such, the changes in the fair values of these contracts are recorded on the balance sheet and recognized immediately in cost of goods sold. We recognized gains of \$2.2 million and losses of \$0.1 million related to settled non-designated hedges as the change in the fair values of these contracts for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

At September 30, 2016, we prepared a sensitivity analysis to estimate our exposure to ethanol and corn. Market risk related to these factors was estimated as the potential change in pre-tax income resulting from a hypothetical 10% adverse change in the prices of our expected ethanol and corn volumes. The results of this analysis as of September 30, 2016, which may differ materially from actual results, are as follows (in millions):

Commodity	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016 Volume	Unit of Measure	Approximate Adverse Change to Pre-Tax Income
Ethanol	683.50	Gallons	\$ 58.8
Corn	128.9	Bushels	\$ 49.4

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates. Exposure to interest rate risk results primarily from our indebtedness that bears interest at variable rates. At September 30, 2016, all of our long-term debt of \$219.0 million was variable-rate in nature. Based on a 100 basis point (1.00%) change in the interest rate on our long-term debt, pre-tax income for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 would be negatively impacted by approximately \$1.6 million.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. The term “disclosure controls and procedures,” as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or Exchange Act, means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the company in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission’s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures also include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company’s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded as of September 30, 2016 that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at a reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the most recently completed fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Inherent Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Management does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control systems are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, no evaluation of internal control over financial reporting can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been or will be detected.

These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of a simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Projections of any evaluation of controls effectiveness to future periods are subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We are subject to legal proceedings, claims and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. While the amounts claimed may be substantial, the ultimate liability cannot presently be determined because of considerable uncertainties that exist. Therefore, it is possible that the outcome of those legal proceedings, claims and litigation could adversely affect our quarterly or annual operating results or cash flows when resolved in a future period. However, based on facts currently available, management believes such matters will not adversely affect in any material respect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

We have evaluated all outstanding cases, including the following pending cases, and have recorded an aggregate of \$3.3 million as a litigation contingency with respect to our outstanding cases for amounts that are probable and estimable.

Western Sugar Cooperative

Pacific Ethanol, Inc., through a subsidiary acquired in its acquisition of Aventine, became involved in a pending lawsuit with Western Sugar Cooperative (“Western Sugar”) that pre-dated the Aventine acquisition.

On February 27, 2015, Western Sugar filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado (Case No. 1:15-cv-00415) naming Aventine Renewable Energy, Inc. (“ARE, Inc.”), one of Aventine’s subsidiaries, as defendant. Western Sugar amended its complaint on April 21, 2015. ARE, Inc. purchased surplus sugar through a United States Department of Agriculture (“USDA”) program. Western Sugar was one of the entities that warehoused this sugar for ARE, Inc. The suit alleges that ARE, Inc. breached a contract with Western Sugar by failing to pay increased rates that it demanded for the storage of ARE, Inc.’s sugar, or alternatively is due additional payment under Western Sugar’s equitable claims. Western Sugar alleges that its higher rates apply because ARE, Inc. failed to timely order the sugar for immediate delivery, thereby allowing Western Sugar to set its own storage rates and avoid the lower USDA contract rate that ARE Inc. paid. Western Sugar claims damages in the amount of approximately \$8.6 million. ARE, Inc. filed answers to Western Sugar’s complaint and amended the complaint generally denying Western Sugar’s allegations and asserting various defenses, including that ARE, Inc. never entered into a storage contract with Western Sugar, that ARE, Inc. paid the rate that Western Sugar was due under the governing USDA contract documents, or alternatively that ARE, Inc. paid a reasonable rate and that Western Sugar’s claimed rates constitute an impermissible penalty. We filed a motion for summary judgment seeking a determination that Western Sugar’s four claims fail as a matter of law. The court granted the motion in part and dismissed one claim, while finding that disputed factual matters remain for trial on Western Sugar’s other three claims. A trial date has not yet been scheduled, but is expected in the first half of 2017.

GS CleanTech Corporation

On May 24, 2013, GS CleanTech Corporation, or GS CleanTech, filed a suit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, Sacramento Division (Case No.: 2:13-CV-01042-JAM-AC), naming Pacific Ethanol, Inc. as a defendant. On August 29, 2013, the case was transferred to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana and made part of the pre-existing multi-district litigation involving GS CleanTech and multiple defendants. The suit alleged infringement of a patent assigned to GS CleanTech by virtue of certain corn oil separation technology in use at one or more of the ethanol production facilities in which we have an interest, including Pacific Ethanol Stockton LLC, or PE Stockton, located in Stockton, California. The complaint sought preliminary and permanent injunctions against us, prohibiting future infringement on the patent owned by GS CleanTech and damages in an unspecified amount adequate to compensate GS CleanTech for the alleged patent infringement, but in any event no less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the inventions of the patent, plus attorneys’ fees. We answered the complaint, counterclaimed that the patent claims at issue, as well as the claims in several related patents, are invalid and unenforceable and that we are not infringing. Pacific Ethanol, Inc. does not itself use any corn oil separation technology and may seek a dismissal on those grounds.

On March 17 and March 18, 2014, GS CleanTech filed suit naming as defendants two of our subsidiaries: PE Stockton and Pacific Ethanol Magic Valley, LLC, or PE Magic Valley. The claims were similar to those filed against Pacific Ethanol, Inc. in May 2013. These two cases were transferred to the multi-district litigation division in United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana, where the case against us was pending. Although PE Stockton and PE Magic Valley do separate and market corn oil, Pacific Ethanol, Inc., PE Stockton and PE Magic Valley strongly disagree that either of the subsidiaries use corn oil separation technology that infringes the patent owned by GS CleanTech. In a January 16, 2015 decision, the District Court for the Southern District of Indiana ruled in favor of a stipulated motion for partial summary judgment for Pacific Ethanol, Inc., PE Stockton and PE Magic Valley finding that all of the GS CleanTech patents in the suit are invalid and, therefore, not infringed. GS CleanTech said it would appeal this decision when the remaining claim in the suit has been decided. The only remaining claim alleged that GS CleanTech inequitably conducted itself before the United States Patent and Trademark Office when obtaining the patents at issue.

A trial in the District Court for the Southern District of Indiana was conducted in October 2015 on that single issue as well as whether GS CleanTech's behavior during prosecution of the patents renders this an "exceptional case" which would allow the District Court to award the Defendants reimbursement of their attorneys' fees expended for defense of the case.

On September 15, 2016, the District Court issued an Order finding that GS CleanTech, the inventors and GS CleanTech's counsel committed inequitable conduct in the prosecution of the GS CleanTech patents before the United States Patent and Trademark Office. As a result, the District Court issued a Final Judgment on September 15, 2016 dismissing with prejudice all of GS CleanTech's cases against the Defendants, including Pacific Ethanol, Inc., PE Stockton and PE Magic Valley. The District Court's ruling of inequitable conduct results in the unenforceability of the GS CleanTech patents against third parties, and also enables the Defendants to pursue reimbursement of their costs and attorneys' fees from GS CleanTech and its counsel. We believe that GS CleanTech may appeal the District Court's rulings as well as its earlier decision that the GS CleanTech patents are invalid.

We did not record a provision for these matters as of December 31, 2015, or thereafter, as the District Court had ruled that all of the GS CleanTech patents in the suit are invalid and, therefore, not infringed. Further, we believe a material adverse ruling on appeal against Pacific Ethanol, Inc., PE Stockton and/or PE Magic Valley is not probable.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

Before deciding to purchase, hold or sell our common stock, you should carefully consider the risks described below in addition to the other information contained in this Report and in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including subsequent reports on Forms 10-Q and 8-K. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also affect our business. If any of these known or unknown risks or uncertainties actually occurs with material adverse effects on Pacific Ethanol, our business, financial condition, results of operations and/or liquidity could be seriously harmed. In that event, the market price for our common stock will likely decline, and you may lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related to our Business

We have incurred significant losses and negative operating cash flow in the past and we may incur losses and negative operating cash flow in the future, which may hamper our operations and impede us from expanding our business.

We have incurred significant losses and negative operating cash flow in the past. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, we incurred consolidated net losses of \$11.7 million and \$18.1 million, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2015, we incurred consolidated net losses of approximately \$18.9 million and incurred negative operating cash flow of \$26.8 million. For 2013 and 2012, we incurred consolidated net losses of \$1.2 million and \$43.4 million, respectively, and in 2012 incurred negative operating cash flow of \$20.8 million. We may incur losses and negative operating cash flow in the future. We expect to rely on cash on hand and cash, if any, generated from our operations and from future financing activities to fund all of the cash requirements of our business. Continued losses and negative operating cash flow may hamper our operations and impede us from expanding our business.

Our results of operations and our ability to operate at a profit is largely dependent on managing the costs of corn and natural gas and the prices of ethanol, distillers grains and other ethanol co-products, all of which are subject to significant volatility and uncertainty.

Our results of operations are highly impacted by commodity prices, including the cost of corn and natural gas that we must purchase, and the prices of ethanol, distillers grains and other ethanol co-products that we sell. Prices and supplies are subject to and determined by market and other forces over which we have no control, such as weather, domestic and global demand, supply shortages, export prices and various governmental policies in the United States and around the world.

As a result of price volatility of corn, natural gas, ethanol, distillers grains and other ethanol co-products, our results of operations may fluctuate substantially. In addition, increases in corn or natural gas prices or decreases in ethanol, distillers grains or other ethanol co-product prices may make it unprofitable to operate. In fact, some of our marketing activities will likely be unprofitable in a market of generally declining ethanol prices due to the nature of our business. For example, to satisfy customer demands, we maintain certain quantities of ethanol inventory for subsequent resale. Moreover, we procure much of our inventory outside the context of a marketing arrangement and therefore must buy ethanol at a price established at the time of purchase and sell ethanol at an index price established later at the time of sale that is generally reflective of movements in the market price of ethanol. As a result, our margins for ethanol sold in these transactions generally decline and may turn negative as the market price of ethanol declines.

No assurance can be given that corn or natural gas can be purchased at, or near, current or any particular prices or that ethanol, distillers grains or other ethanol co-products will sell at, or near, current or any particular prices. Consequently, our results of operations and financial position may be adversely affected by increases in the price of corn or natural gas or decreases in the price of ethanol, distillers grains or other ethanol co-products.

Over the past several years, the spread between ethanol and corn prices has fluctuated significantly. Fluctuations are likely to continue to occur. A sustained narrow spread, whether as a result of sustained high or increased corn prices or sustained low or decreased ethanol prices, would adversely affect our results of operations and financial position. Further, combined revenues from sales of ethanol, distillers grains and other ethanol co-products could decline below the marginal cost of production, which may force us to suspend production of ethanol, distillers grains and ethanol co-products at some or all of our plants.

We may be unable to restructure or repay the debt associated with our Pacific Ethanol Central plants acquired in the Aventine acquisition in the current aggregate amount of \$155.1 million prior to its September 24, 2017 maturity date. Our inability to timely restructure or repay this debt would result in material adverse effects on us and certain of our direct and indirect subsidiaries.

As of November 8, 2016, our Pacific Ethanol Central plants, which we acquired in the Aventine acquisition, had an aggregate of \$155.1 million in combined term debt, all of which is due September 24, 2017. We do not and may not have sufficient funds to repay this indebtedness prior to its maturity date. If we are unable to timely restructure the debt or raise sufficient capital to repay the debt, the Pacific Ethanol Central plants will be in default on the debt. Our inability to restructure or repay this debt prior to its maturity would likely have a material adverse effect on us and certain of our direct and indirect subsidiaries, including the Pacific Ethanol Central plants, and would likely materially and adversely harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and future prospects.

Increased ethanol production may cause a decline in ethanol prices or prevent ethanol prices from rising, and may have other negative effects, adversely impacting our results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

We believe that the most significant factor influencing the price of ethanol has been the substantial increase in ethanol production in recent years. According to the Renewable Fuels Association, domestic ethanol production capacity increased from an annualized rate of 1.5 billion gallons per year in January 1999 to a record 14.8 billion gallons in 2015. In addition, if ethanol production margins improve, we anticipate that owners of idle ethanol production facilities, many of which may be idled due to poor production margins, will restart operations, thereby resulting in more abundant ethanol supplies and inventories. Any increase in the demand for ethanol may not be commensurate with increases in the supply of ethanol, thus leading to lower ethanol prices. Also, demand for ethanol could be impaired due to a number of factors, including regulatory developments and reduced United States gasoline consumption. Reduced gasoline consumption has occurred in the past and could occur in the future as a result of increased gasoline or oil prices or other factors such as increased automobile fuel efficiency. Any of these outcomes could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

The market price of ethanol is volatile and subject to large fluctuations, which may cause our profitability or losses to fluctuate significantly.

The market price of ethanol is volatile and subject to large fluctuations. The market price of ethanol is dependent upon many factors, including the supply of ethanol and the price of gasoline, which is in turn dependent upon the price of petroleum which is highly volatile and difficult to forecast. For example, ethanol prices, as reported by the CBOT, ranged from \$1.31 to \$1.74 per gallon during the first nine months of 2016, \$1.31 to \$1.69 per gallon during 2015 and \$1.50 to \$3.52 per gallon during 2014. Fluctuations in the market price of ethanol may cause our profitability or losses to fluctuate significantly.

Some of our marketing activities will likely be unprofitable in a market of generally declining ethanol prices due to the nature of our business.

Some of our marketing activities will likely be unprofitable in a market of generally declining ethanol prices due to the nature of our business. For example, to satisfy customer demands, we maintain certain quantities of ethanol inventory for subsequent resale. Moreover, we procure much of our inventory outside the context of a marketing arrangement and therefore must buy ethanol at a price established at the time of purchase and sell ethanol at an index price established later at the time of sale that is generally reflective of movements in the market price of ethanol. As a result, our margins for ethanol sold in these transactions generally decline and may turn negative as the market price of ethanol declines.

Disruptions in ethanol production infrastructure may adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our business depends on the continuing availability of rail, road, port, storage and distribution infrastructure. In particular, due to limited storage capacity at our plants and other considerations related to production efficiencies, our plants depend on just-in-time delivery of corn. The production of ethanol also requires a significant and uninterrupted supply of other raw materials and energy, primarily water, electricity and natural gas. The prices of electricity and natural gas have fluctuated significantly in the past and may fluctuate significantly in the future. Local water, electricity and gas utilities may not be able to reliably supply the water, electricity and natural gas that our plants need or may not be able to supply those resources on acceptable terms. Any disruptions in the ethanol production infrastructure, whether caused by labor difficulties, earthquakes, storms, other natural disasters or human error or malfeasance or other reasons, could prevent timely deliveries of corn or other raw materials and energy and may require us to halt production at one or more plants which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We may engage in hedging transactions and other risk mitigation strategies that could harm our results of operations.

In an attempt to partially offset the effects of volatility of ethanol prices and corn and natural gas costs, we may enter into contracts to fix the price of a portion of our ethanol production or purchase a portion of our corn or natural gas requirements on a forward basis. In addition, we may engage in other hedging transactions involving exchange-traded futures contracts for corn, natural gas and unleaded gasoline from time to time. The financial statement impact of these activities is dependent upon, among other things, the prices involved and our ability to sell sufficient products to use all of the corn and natural gas for which forward commitments have been made. Hedging arrangements also expose us to the risk of financial loss in situations where the other party to the hedging contract defaults on its contract or, in the case of exchange-traded contracts, where there is a change in the expected differential between the underlying price in the hedging agreement and the actual prices paid or received by us. As a result, our results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected by fluctuations in the price of corn, natural gas, ethanol and unleaded gasoline.

Operational difficulties at our plants could negatively impact sales volumes and could cause us to incur substantial losses.

Operations at our plants are subject to labor disruptions, unscheduled downtimes and other operational hazards inherent in the ethanol production industry, including equipment failures, fires, explosions, abnormal pressures, blowouts, pipeline ruptures, transportation accidents and natural disasters. Some of these operational hazards may cause personal injury or loss of life, severe damage to or destruction of property and equipment or environmental damage, and may result in suspension of operations and the imposition of civil or criminal penalties. Our insurance may not be adequate to fully cover the potential operational hazards described above or we may not be able to renew this insurance on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

Moreover, our plants may not operate as planned or expected. All of these facilities are designed to operate at or above a specified production capacity. The operation of these facilities is and will be, however, subject to various uncertainties. As a result, these facilities may not produce ethanol and its co-products at expected levels. In the event any of these facilities do not run at their expected capacity levels, our business, results of operations and financial condition may be materially and adversely affected.

Future demand for ethanol is uncertain and may be affected by changes to federal mandates, public perception, consumer acceptance and overall consumer demand for transportation fuel, any of which could negatively affect demand for ethanol and our results of operations.

Although many trade groups, academics and governmental agencies have supported ethanol as a fuel additive that promotes a cleaner environment, others have criticized ethanol production as consuming considerably more energy and emitting more greenhouse gases than other biofuels and potentially depleting water resources. Some studies have suggested that corn-based ethanol is less efficient than ethanol produced from other feedstock and that it negatively impacts consumers by causing increased prices for dairy, meat and other food generated from livestock that consume corn. Additionally, ethanol critics contend that corn supplies are redirected from international food markets to domestic fuel markets. If negative views of corn-based ethanol production gain acceptance, support for existing measures promoting use and domestic production of corn-based ethanol could decline, leading to reduction or repeal of federal mandates, which could adversely affect the demand for ethanol. These views could also negatively impact public perception of the ethanol industry and acceptance of ethanol as an alternative fuel.

There are limited markets for ethanol beyond those established by federal mandates. Discretionary blending and E85 blending are important secondary markets. Discretionary blending is often determined by the price of ethanol versus the price of gasoline. In periods when discretionary blending is financially unattractive, the demand for ethanol may be reduced. Also, the demand for ethanol is affected by the overall demand for transportation fuel. Demand for transportation fuel is affected by the number of miles traveled by consumers and the fuel economy of vehicles. Market acceptance of E15 may partially offset the effects of decreases in transportation fuel demand. A reduction in the demand for ethanol and ethanol co-products may depress the value of our products, erode our margins and reduce our ability to generate revenue or to operate profitably. Consumer acceptance of E15 and E85 fuels is needed before ethanol can achieve any significant growth in market share relative to other transportation fuels.

If we fail to integrate successfully the businesses of Pacific Ethanol and Aventine our results of operations will be adversely affected.

The success of the Aventine acquisition will depend, in large part, on our ability to realize the anticipated benefits from combining the businesses of Pacific Ethanol and Aventine. To realize these anticipated benefits, we must successfully integrate the businesses of Pacific Ethanol and Aventine. This integration has been and will continue to be complex and time-consuming.

The failure to integrate successfully and to manage successfully the challenges presented by the integration process may result in our failure to achieve some or all of the anticipated benefits of the acquisition.

Potential difficulties that may be encountered in the integration process include the following:

- complexities associated with managing the larger, more complex, combined business;
- integrating personnel;
- potential unknown liabilities and unforeseen expenses, delays or regulatory conditions associated with the acquisition; and
- performance shortfalls as a result of the diversion of management's attention caused by integrating Pacific Ethanol's and Aventine's operations.

Our future results will suffer if we do not effectively manage our expanded operations.

Our business following the Aventine acquisition is significantly larger than the individual businesses of Pacific Ethanol and Aventine prior to the acquisition. Our future success depends, in part, upon our ability to manage our expanded business, which will pose substantial challenges for our management, including challenges related to the management and monitoring of new operations and associated increased costs and complexity. We cannot assure you that we will be successful or that we will realize the expected operating efficiencies, annual net operating synergies, revenue enhancements and other benefits currently anticipated to result from the acquisition.

Our level of indebtedness may make it more difficult for us to pay or refinance our debts and we may need to divert our cash flow from operations to debt service payments. Our indebtedness could limit our ability to pursue other strategic opportunities and could increase our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions.

Our debt service obligations could have an adverse impact on our earnings and cash flows for as long as the indebtedness is outstanding. Our indebtedness could also have important consequences to holders of our common stock. For example, it could:

- make it more difficult to pay or refinance our debts as they become due during adverse economic and industry conditions because any decrease in revenues could cause us to not have sufficient cash flows from operations to make our scheduled debt payments;
- limit our flexibility to pursue other strategic opportunities or react to changes in our business and the industry in which we operate and, consequently, place us at a competitive disadvantage to our competitors who have less debt; or
- require a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations to be used for debt service payments, thereby reducing the availability of our cash flows to fund working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, dividend payments and other general corporate purposes.

Based upon current levels of operations, we expect to generate sufficient cash on a consolidated basis to make all principal and interest payments when such payments become due under our existing credit facilities, indentures and other instruments governing our outstanding indebtedness, but there can be no assurance that we will be able to repay or refinance such borrowings and obligations.

If Kinerger fails to satisfy its financial covenants under its credit facility, it may experience a loss or reduction of that facility, which would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We are substantially dependent on Kinerger's credit facility to help finance its operations. Kinerger must satisfy monthly financial covenants under its credit facility, including fixed-charge coverage ratio covenants. Kinerger will be in default under its credit facility if it fails to satisfy any financial covenant. A default may result in the loss or reduction of the credit facility. The loss of Kinerger's credit facility, or a significant reduction in Kinerger's borrowing capacity under the facility, would result in Kinerger's inability to finance a significant portion of its business and would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

The United States ethanol industry is highly dependent upon certain federal and state legislation and regulation and any changes in legislation or regulation could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

The EPA has implemented the national Renewable Fuel Standard, or national RFS, pursuant to the Energy Policy Act of 2005 and the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007. The national RFS program sets annual quotas for the quantity of renewable fuels (such as ethanol) that must be blended into motor fuels consumed in the United States. The domestic market for ethanol is significantly impacted by federal mandates under the national RFS program for volumes of renewable fuels (such as ethanol) required to be blended with gasoline. Future demand for ethanol will be largely dependent upon incentives to blend ethanol into motor fuels, including the relative price of gasoline versus ethanol, the relative octane value of ethanol, constraints in the ability of vehicles to use higher ethanol blends, the national RFS, and other applicable environmental requirements. Any significant increase in production capacity above the national RFS minimum requirements may have an adverse impact on ethanol prices.

Legislation aimed at reducing or eliminating the renewable fuel use required by the national RFS has been introduced in the United States Congress. On January 21, 2015, the *Leave Ethanol Volumes at Existing Levels (LEVEL) Act* (H.R. 434) was introduced in the House. The bill would amend the national RFS by decreasing the required volume of renewable fuels in 2015-2022 to 7.5 billion gallons per year. On February 4, 2015, the *RFS Elimination Act* (H.R. 703) was introduced in the House of Representatives. The bill would fully repeal the national RFS. Also introduced on February 4, 2015, was the *RFS Reform Act* (H.R. 704), which prohibits corn-based ethanol from meeting the national RFS requirements, caps the amount of ethanol that can be blended into conventional gasoline at 10%, and requires the EPA to set requirements for cellulosic biofuels at actual production levels. On January 6, 2015, a bill (H.R. 21) was introduced in the House of Representatives to, among other things, vacate any waivers issued under the Clean Air Act to allow the sale of mid-level ethanol blends for use in motor vehicles. A mid-level ethanol blend is an ethanol-gasoline blend containing 10-20% of ethanol by volume that is intended to be used in any conventional gasoline-powered motor vehicle or nonroad vehicle or engine. On February 26, 2015, the *Corn Ethanol Mandate Elimination Act of 2015* (S. 577) was introduced in the Senate. The bill would eliminate corn ethanol as qualifying as a renewable fuel under the national RFS. *The American Energy Renaissance Act of 2015* (S. 791 and H.R. 1487), which was introduced in the Senate on March 18, 2015 and the House on March 19, 2015, would phase out the national RFS over a five-year period. The *Renewable Fuel Standard Repeal Act* (S. 1584), which would fully repeal the national RFS, was introduced in the Senate on June 16, 2015. All of these bills were assigned to a congressional committee, which will consider them before possibly sending any of them on to the House of Representatives or the Senate as a whole. Our operations could be adversely impacted if any legislation is enacted that reduces or eliminates the national RFS volume requirements or that reduces or eliminates corn ethanol as qualifying as a renewable fuel under the national RFS.

Under the provisions of the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA has limited authority to waive or reduce the mandated national RFS requirements, which authority is subject to consultation with the Secretaries of Agriculture and Energy, and based on a determination that there is inadequate domestic renewable fuel supply or implementation of the applicable requirements would severely harm the economy or environment of a state, region or the United States. For 2016, the EPA reduced the national RFS from the statutory level of 15.0 billion gallons to 14.0 billion gallons. We believe that the EPA's decision to propose cuts to the congressionally established volumes is based on the EPA's perception that the nation's refueling infrastructure is currently unable to distribute the statutorily-required volumes to consumers. Our results of operations, cash flows and financial condition could be adversely impacted if the EPA further reduces the national RFS requirements from the statutory levels specified in the national RFS.

The ethanol production and marketing industry is extremely competitive. Many of our significant competitors have greater production and financial resources and one or more of these competitors could use their greater resources to gain market share at our expense. In addition, a number of Kinergy's suppliers may circumvent the marketing services we provide, causing our sales and profitability to decline.

The ethanol production and marketing industry is extremely competitive. Many of our significant competitors in the ethanol production and marketing industry, including Archer Daniels Midland Company and Valero Energy Corporation, have substantially greater production and/or financial resources. As a result, our competitors may be able to compete more aggressively and sustain that competition over a longer period of time. Successful competition will require a continued high level of investment in marketing and customer service and support. Our limited resources relative to many significant competitors may cause us to fail to anticipate or respond adequately to new developments and other competitive pressures. This failure could reduce our competitiveness and cause a decline in market share, sales and profitability. Even if sufficient funds are available, we may not be able to make the modifications and improvements necessary to compete successfully.

We also face increasing competition from international suppliers. Currently, international suppliers produce ethanol primarily from sugar cane and have cost structures that are generally substantially lower than our cost structures. Any increase in domestic or foreign competition could cause us to reduce our prices and take other steps to compete effectively, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, some of our suppliers are potential competitors and, especially if the price of ethanol reaches historically high levels, they may seek to capture additional profits by circumventing our marketing services in favor of selling directly to our customers. If one or more of our major suppliers, or numerous smaller suppliers, circumvent our marketing services, our sales and profitability may decline.

Our ability to utilize net operating loss carryforwards and certain other tax attributes may be limited.

Federal and state income tax laws impose restrictions on the utilization of net operating loss, or NOL, and tax credit carryforwards in the event that an "ownership change" occurs for tax purposes, as defined by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, or Code. In general, an ownership change occurs when stockholders owning 5% or more of a "loss corporation" (a corporation entitled to use NOL or other loss carryovers) have increased their ownership of stock in such corporation by more than 50 percentage points during any three-year period. The annual base limitation under Section 382 of the Code is calculated by multiplying the loss corporation's value at the time of the ownership change by the greater of the long-term tax-exempt rate determined by the Internal Revenue Service in the month of the ownership change or the two preceding months.

As of December 31, 2015, we had \$137.6 million of federal NOLs that are limited in their annual use under Section 382 of the Code. Accordingly, our ability to utilize these NOL carryforwards may be substantially limited. These limitations could in turn result in increased future tax obligations, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The high concentration of our sales within the ethanol production and marketing industry could result in a significant reduction in sales and negatively affect our profitability if demand for ethanol declines.

We expect to be completely focused on the production and marketing of ethanol and its co-products for the foreseeable future. We may be unable to shift our business focus away from the production and marketing of ethanol to other renewable fuels or competing products. Accordingly, an industry shift away from ethanol or the emergence of new competing products may reduce the demand for ethanol. A downturn in the demand for ethanol would likely materially and adversely affect our sales and profitability.

We may be adversely affected by environmental, health and safety laws, regulations and liabilities.

We are subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations, including those relating to the discharge of materials into the air, water and ground, the generation, storage, handling, use, transportation and disposal of hazardous materials and wastes, and the health and safety of our employees. In addition, some of these laws and regulations require us to operate under permits that are subject to renewal or modification. These laws, regulations and permits can often require expensive pollution control equipment or operational changes to limit actual or potential impacts to the environment. A violation of these laws and regulations or permit conditions can result in substantial fines, natural resource damages, criminal sanctions, permit revocations and/or facility shutdowns. In addition, we have made, and expect to make, significant capital expenditures on an ongoing basis to comply with increasingly stringent environmental laws, regulations and permits.

We may be liable for the investigation and cleanup of environmental contamination at each of our plants and at off-site locations where we arrange for the disposal of hazardous substances or wastes. If these substances or wastes have been or are disposed of or released at sites that undergo investigation and/or remediation by regulatory agencies, we may be responsible under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, or other environmental laws for all or part of the costs of investigation and/or remediation, and for damages to natural resources. We may also be subject to related claims by private parties alleging property damage and personal injury due to exposure to hazardous or other materials at or from those properties. Some of these matters may require us to expend significant amounts for investigation, cleanup or other costs.

In addition, new laws, new interpretations of existing laws, increased governmental enforcement of environmental laws or other developments could require us to make significant additional expenditures. Continued government and public emphasis on environmental issues can be expected to result in increased future investments for environmental controls at our plants. Present and future environmental laws and regulations, and interpretations of those laws and regulations, applicable to our operations, more vigorous enforcement policies and discovery of currently unknown conditions may require substantial expenditures that could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

The hazards and risks associated with producing and transporting our products (including fires, natural disasters, explosions and abnormal pressures and blowouts) may also result in personal injury claims or damage to property and third parties. As protection against operating hazards, we maintain insurance coverage against some, but not all, potential losses. However, we could sustain losses for uninsurable or uninsured risks, or in amounts in excess of existing insurance coverage. Events that result in significant personal injury or damage to our property or third parties or other losses that are not fully covered by insurance could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

If we are unable to attract or retain key personnel, our ability to operate effectively may be impaired, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our ability to operate our business and implement strategies depends, in part, on the efforts of our executive officers and other key personnel. Our future success will depend on, among other factors, our ability to retain our current key personnel and attract and retain qualified future key personnel, particularly executive management. In addition, the success of the Aventine acquisition will depend in part on our ability to retain key personnel. It is possible that these personnel might decide not to remain with us now that the acquisition is completed. If these key personnel terminate their employment, our business activities might be adversely affected and management's attention might be diverted from integrating the businesses of Pacific Ethanol and Aventine to recruiting suitable replacement personnel. We may be unable to locate suitable replacements for any such key personnel or offer employment to potential replacement personnel on reasonable terms. If we are unable to attract or retain key personnel, our ability to operate effectively may be impaired, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We depend on a small number of customers for the majority of our sales. A reduction in business from any of these customers could cause a significant decline in our overall sales and profitability.

The majority of our sales are generated from a small number of customers. During 2015, 2014 and 2013, four customers accounted for an aggregate of approximately \$538 million, \$659 million and \$521 million in net sales, representing 45%, 59% and 58% of our net sales, respectively, for those periods. We expect that we will continue to depend for the foreseeable future upon a small number of customers for a significant portion of our sales. Our agreements with these customers generally do not require them to purchase any specified amount of ethanol or dollar amount of sales or to make any purchases whatsoever. Therefore, in any future period, our sales generated from these customers, individually or in the aggregate, may not equal or exceed historical levels. If sales to any of these customers cease or decline, we may be unable to replace these sales with sales to either existing or new customers in a timely manner, or at all. A cessation or reduction of sales to one or more of these customers could cause a significant decline in our overall sales and profitability.

Our lack of long-term ethanol orders and commitments by our customers could lead to a rapid decline in our sales and profitability.

We cannot rely on long-term ethanol orders or commitments by our customers for protection from the negative financial effects of a decline in the demand for ethanol or a decline in the demand for our marketing services. The limited certainty of ethanol orders can make it difficult for us to forecast our sales and allocate our resources in a manner consistent with our actual sales. Moreover, our expense levels are based in part on our expectations of future sales and, if our expectations regarding future sales are inaccurate, we may be unable to reduce costs in a timely manner to adjust for sales shortfalls. Furthermore, because we depend on a small number of customers for a significant portion of our sales, the magnitude of the ramifications of these risks is greater than if our sales were less concentrated. As a result of our lack of long-term ethanol orders and commitments, we may experience a rapid decline in our sales and profitability.

There are limitations on our ability to receive distributions from our subsidiaries.

We conduct most of our operations through subsidiaries and are dependent upon dividends or other intercompany transfers of funds from our subsidiaries to generate free cash flow. Moreover, some of our subsidiaries are limited in their ability to pay dividends or make distributions to us by the terms of their financing arrangements.

Risks Related to Ownership of our Common Stock

Our stock price is highly volatile, which could result in substantial losses for investors purchasing shares of our common stock and in litigation against us.

The market price of our common stock has fluctuated significantly in the past and may continue to fluctuate significantly in the future. The market price of our common stock may continue to fluctuate in response to one or more of the following factors, many of which are beyond our control:

- fluctuations in the market prices of ethanol and its co-products;
- the cost of key inputs to the production of ethanol, including corn and natural gas;
- our ability to timely pay or otherwise refinance our outstanding indebtedness;
- the volume and timing of the receipt of orders for ethanol from major customers;
- competitive pricing pressures;
- our ability to timely and cost-effectively produce, sell and deliver ethanol;
- the announcement, introduction and market acceptance of one or more alternatives to ethanol;
- losses resulting from adjustments to the fair values of our outstanding warrants to purchase our common stock;
- changes in market valuations of companies similar to us;
- stock market price and volume fluctuations generally;
- the possibility that the anticipated benefits from our acquisition of Aventine cannot be fully realized in a timely manner or at all;
- regulatory developments or increased enforcement;
- fluctuations in our quarterly or annual operating results;
- additions or departures of key personnel;
- our ability to obtain any necessary financing;
- our financing activities and future sales of our common stock or other securities; and
- our ability to maintain contracts that are critical to our operations.

Furthermore, we believe that the economic conditions in California and other Western states, as well as the United States as a whole, could have a negative impact on our results of operations. Demand for ethanol could also be adversely affected by a slow-down in the overall demand for oxygenate and gasoline additive products. The levels of our ethanol production and purchases for resale will be based upon forecasted demand. Accordingly, any inaccuracy in forecasting anticipated revenues and expenses could adversely affect our business. The failure to receive anticipated orders or to complete delivery in any quarterly period could adversely affect our results of operations for that period. Quarterly results are not necessarily indicative of future performance for any particular period, and we may not experience revenue growth or profitability on a quarterly or an annual basis.

The price at which you purchase shares of our common stock may not be indicative of the price that will prevail in the trading market. You may be unable to sell your shares of common stock at or above your purchase price, which may result in substantial losses to you and which may include the complete loss of your investment. In the past, securities class action litigation has often been brought against a company following periods of high stock price volatility. We may be the target of similar litigation in the future. Securities litigation could result in substantial costs and divert management's attention and our resources away from our business.

Any of the risks described above could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or the price of our common stock, or both.

We may incur significant non-cash expenses in future periods due to adjustments to the fair values of our outstanding warrants. These non-cash expenses may materially and adversely affect our reported net income or losses and cause our stock price to decline.

From 2010 through 2013, we issued in various financing transactions warrants to purchase shares of our common stock. The warrants were initially recorded at their fair values, which are adjusted quarterly, generally resulting in non-cash expenses or income if the market price of our common stock increases or decreases, respectively, during the period. For example, due to the substantial increase in the market price of our common stock in the first quarter of 2014 and because the exercise prices of these warrants were, as of March 31, 2014, well below the market price of our common stock, the fair values of the warrants and the related non-cash expenses were significantly higher in the first quarter of 2014 than in prior quarterly periods, which resulted in an unusually large non-cash expense for the quarter. These fair value adjustments will continue in future periods until all of our warrants are exercised or expire. We may incur additional significant non-cash expenses in future periods due to adjustments to the fair values of our outstanding warrants resulting from increases in the market price of our common stock during those periods. These non-cash expenses may materially and adversely affect our reported net income or losses and cause our stock price to decline.

Upon the conversion or exercise of our outstanding derivative securities, if the resulting shares of common stock are resold into the market, or if a perception exists that a substantial number of shares may be issued and then resold into the market, the market price of our common stock and the value of your investment could decline significantly.

We have preferred stock, non-voting common stock and warrants outstanding that may be converted into or exercised for shares of our common stock. Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock underlying these derivative securities, or even the perception that these sales could occur, could adversely affect the market price of our common stock. As a result, you could experience a significant decline in the value of your investment.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

None.

Dividends

Our current and future debt financing arrangements may limit or prevent cash distributions from our subsidiaries to us, depending upon the achievement of specified financial and other operating conditions and our ability to properly service our debt, thereby limiting or preventing us from paying cash dividends.

For each of the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, we declared and paid in cash an aggregate of \$0.3 million in dividends on our Series B Preferred Stock. We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock and do not currently intend to pay cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. We currently anticipate that we will retain any earnings for use in the continued development of our business. The holders of our outstanding Series B Preferred Stock are entitled to dividends of 7% per annum, payable quarterly. Accumulated and unpaid dividends in respect of our Series B Preferred Stock must be paid prior to the payment of any dividends in respect of our common stock.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

On November 7, 2016, we entered into Amended and Restated Employment Agreements with each of our executive officers. The material terms of each agreement are described below.

Neil M. Koehler

Our Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Neil M. Koehler provides for at-will employment as our President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Koehler's base salary rate is \$461,217 per year. Mr. Koehler is eligible to participate in our short-term incentive plan with a pay-out target of 70% of his base salary, to be paid based upon performance criteria set by the Compensation Committee of our board of directors.

Upon termination by us without cause or resignation by Mr. Koehler for good reason, Mr. Koehler is entitled to receive (i) severance equal to eighteen months of his base salary, (ii) 150% of his total target short-term incentive plan award, (iii) continued health insurance coverage for eighteen months or, until the earlier effective date of coverage under a subsequent employer's plan, and (iv) accelerated vesting of 25% of all shares or options subject to any equity awards granted to Mr. Koehler prior to Mr. Koehler's termination which are unvested as of the date of termination.

However, if Mr. Koehler is terminated without cause or resigns for good reason in anticipation of or twenty-four months after a change in control, Mr. Koehler is entitled to (a) severance equal to thirty-six months of base salary, (b) 300% of his total target short-term incentive plan award, (c) continued health insurance coverage for thirty-six months or, until the earlier effective date of coverage under a subsequent employer's plan, and (d) accelerated vesting of 100% of all shares or options subject to any equity awards granted to Mr. Koehler prior to Mr. Koehler's termination which are unvested as of the date of termination.

If we terminate Mr. Koehler's employment upon his disability, Mr. Koehler is entitled to severance equal to twelve months of base salary.

The term "for good reason" is defined in the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement as (i) the assignment to Mr. Koehler of any duties or responsibilities that result in the material diminution of Mr. Koehler's authority, duties or responsibility, (ii) a material reduction by us in Mr. Koehler's annual base salary, except to the extent the base salaries of all or our other executive officers are accordingly reduced, (iii) a relocation of Mr. Koehler's place of work, or our principal executive offices if Mr. Koehler's principal office is at these offices, to a location that increases Mr. Koehler's daily one-way commute by more than thirty-five miles, or (iv) any material breach by us of any material provision of the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement.

The term “cause” is defined in the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement as (i) Mr. Koehler’s indictment or conviction of any felony or of any crime involving dishonesty, (ii) Mr. Koehler’s participation in any fraud or other act of willful misconduct against us, (iii) Mr. Koehler’s refusal to comply with any or our lawful directives, (iv) Mr. Koehler’s material breach of his fiduciary, statutory, contractual, or common law duties to us, or (v) conduct by Mr. Koehler which, in the good faith and reasonable determination of our board of directors, demonstrates gross unfitness to serve; provided, however, that in the event that any of the foregoing events is reasonably capable of being cured, we shall, within twenty days after the discovery of the event, provide written notice to Mr. Koehler describing the nature of the event and Mr. Koehler shall thereafter have ten business days to cure the event.

A “change in control” is deemed to have occurred if, in a single transaction or series of related transactions (i) any person (as the term is used in Section 13(d) and 14(d) of the Exchange Act), or persons acting as a group, other than a trustee or fiduciary holding securities under an employee benefit program, is or becomes a “beneficial owner” (as defined in Rule 13-3 under the Exchange Act), directly or indirectly of securities of Pacific Ethanol, Inc. representing a majority of the combined voting power of Pacific Ethanol, Inc., (ii) there is a merger, consolidation or other business combination transaction of Pacific Ethanol, Inc. with or into another corporation, entity or person, other than a transaction in which the holders of at least a majority of the shares of voting capital stock of Pacific Ethanol, Inc. outstanding immediately prior to the transaction continue to hold (either by the shares remaining outstanding or by their being converted into shares of voting capital stock of the surviving entity) a majority of the total voting power represented by the shares of voting capital stock of Pacific Ethanol, Inc. (or the surviving entity) outstanding immediately after the transaction, or (iii) all or substantially all of our assets are sold.

Michael D. Kandris

Our Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Michael D. Kandris provides for at-will employment as our Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Kandris’s base salary rate is \$330,611 per year. Mr. Kandris is eligible to participate in our short-term incentive plan with a pay-out target of 50% of his base salary, to be paid based upon performance criteria set by the Compensation Committee of our board of directors.

Upon termination by us without cause or resignation by Mr. Kandris for good reason, Mr. Kandris is entitled to receive (i) severance equal to twelve months of base salary, (ii) 100% of his total target short-term incentive plan award, (iii) continued health insurance coverage for eighteen months or, until the earlier effective date of coverage under a subsequent employer’s plan, and (iv) accelerated vesting of 25% of all shares or options subject to any equity awards granted to Mr. Kandris prior to Mr. Kandris’s termination which are unvested as of the date of termination.

However, if Mr. Kandris is terminated without cause or resigns for good reason in anticipation of or twenty-four months after a change in control, Mr. Kandris is entitled to (a) severance equal to twenty-four months of base salary, (b) 200% of his total target short-term incentive plan award, (c) continued health insurance coverage for twenty-four months or, until the earlier effective date of coverage under a subsequent employer’s plan, and (d) accelerated vesting of 100% of all shares or options subject to any equity awards granted to Mr. Kandris prior to Mr. Kandris’s termination which are unvested as of the date of termination.

If we terminate Mr. Kandris’s employment upon his disability, Mr. Kandris is entitled to severance equal to twelve months of base salary.

The meanings given to the terms “cause,” “good reason” and “change in control” in Mr. Kandris’s Amended and Restated Employment Agreement are the same as those contained in Mr. Koehler’s Amended and Restated Employment Agreement described above.

Bryon T. McGregor

Our Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Bryon T. McGregor provides for at-will employment as our Chief Financial Officer, Vice President and Assistant Secretary. Mr. McGregor’s base salary rate is \$299,710 per year. Mr. McGregor is eligible to participate in our short-term incentive plan with a pay-out target of 50% of his base salary, to be paid based upon performance criteria set by the Compensation Committee of our board of directors. All other terms and conditions of Mr. McGregor’s Amended and Restated Employment Agreement are substantially the same as those contained in Mr. Kandris’s Amended and Restated Employment Agreement described above.

Christopher W. Wright

Our Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Christopher W. Wright provides for at-will employment as our Vice President of Administration, General Counsel and Secretary. Mr. Wright's base salary rate is \$289,410 per year. Mr. Wright is eligible to participate in our short-term incentive plan with a pay-out target of 50% of his base salary, to be paid based upon performance criteria set by the Compensation Committee of our board of directors. All other terms and conditions of Mr. Wright's Amended and Restated Employment Agreement are substantially the same as those contained in Mr. Kandris's Amended and Restated Employment Agreement described above.

James R. Sneed

Our Employment Agreement with James R. Sneed provides for at-will employment as our Vice President, Supply and Trading. Mr. Sneed's base salary rate is \$245,550 per year. Mr. Sneed is eligible to participate in our short-term incentive plan with a pay-out target of 40% of his base salary, to be paid based upon performance criteria set by the Compensation Committee of our board of directors. All other terms and conditions of Mr. Sneed's Amended and Restated Employment Agreement are substantially the same as those contained in Mr. Kandris's Amended and Restated Employment Agreement described above.

Paul P. Koehler

Our Employment Agreement with Paul P. Koehler provides for at-will employment as our Vice President, Commodities and Corporate Development. Mr. Koehler's base salary rate is \$245,550 per year. Mr. Koehler is eligible to participate in our short-term incentive plan with a pay-out target of 40% of his base salary, to be paid based upon performance criteria set by the Compensation Committee of our board of directors. All other terms and conditions of Mr. Koehler's Amended and Restated Employment Agreement are substantially the same as those contained in Mr. Kandris's Amended and Restated Employment Agreement described above.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
31.1	Certifications Required by Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (*)
31.2	Certifications Required by Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (*)
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (*)
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document (*)
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema (*)
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase (*)
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase (*)
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase (*)
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase (*)

(*) Filed herewith.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PACIFIC ETHANOL, INC.

Dated: November 8, 2016

By: /S/ BRYON T. MCGREGOR

Bryon T. McGregor
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

EXHIBITS FILED WITH THIS REPORT

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
31.1	Certification Required by Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification Required by Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

**CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Neil M. Koehler, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Pacific Ethanol, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 8, 2016

/S/ NEIL M. KOEHLER
Neil M. Koehler
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

**CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Bryon T. McGregor, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Pacific Ethanol, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 8, 2016

/S/ BRYON T. MCGREGOR

Bryon T. McGregor
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

**CERTIFICATION OF
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Pacific Ethanol, Inc. (the "Company") for the period ended September 30, 2016 (the "Report"), the undersigned hereby certify in their capacities as Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, respectively, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
2. the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: November 8, 2016

By: /S/ NEIL M. KOEHLER
Neil M. Koehler
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Dated: November 8, 2016

/S/ BRYON T. MCGREGOR
Bryon T. McGregor
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signatures that appear in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.